

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

IN THE NAME OF ALLĀH, THE MOST
GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

Discussions Concerning al-Mahdī

DISCUSSIONS CONCERNING AL-MAHDĪ

عَجَّلَ اللَّهُ تَعَالَى
فَرَجَهُ الشَّرِيفَ

(MAY ALLĀH HASTEN HIS RETURN)

WRITTEN BY
ĀYATULLĀH AL-‘UẒMĀ AL-ḤĀJJ ASH-SHAYKH
LUṬFULLAH AS-ṢĀFĪ AL-GULPĀYGĀNĪ

TRANSLATED BY
SAYYID SULAYMĀN ‘ALĪ ḤASAN

Discussions Concerning al-Mahdī

DISCUSSIONS CONCERNING AL-MAHDĪ

ISBN 1-894701-08-9

کفتمان مهدویت

WRITTEN BY
ĀYATULLĀH AL-‘UZMĀ AL-ĤĀJJ ASH-SHAYKH
LUṬFULLAH AS-ŞĀFĪ AL-GULPĀYGĀNĪ

TRANSLATED BY
SAYYID SULAYMĀN ‘ALĪ ḤASAN

PUBLISHED BY



ISLAMIC HUMANITARIAN SERVICE AND
FATH AL-MUBĪN PUBLICATIONS

153 FREDERICK STREET, SUITE 101
KITCHENER, ONTARIO, CANADA, N 2H-2M2
TEL: (519) 576-7111 FAX: (519) 576-0129

IHS@PRIMUS.CA
AL_MUBINI@HOTMAIL.COM
WWW.AL-HAQQ.COM

© COPYRIGHT 2000 BY
ISLAMIC HUMANITARIAN SERVICE AND
FATH AL-MUBĪN PUBLICATIONS

FIRST EDITION: 2000 A.D. / 1421 A.H.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

It is ḥarām (religiously forbidden) to reprint or transmit this writing in
any form without the written permission of the Publishers.

DEDICATION

Table of Contents

Shī‘ism

13

Historical Factors Have Not Influenced the Origin of Shīaism

31

The Shī‘a and Armed Uprising

37

Imām Ja‘far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon them) and the Shī‘a School of Thought

39

Before Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon them)

42

Shī‘a Ideology is Practical

47

Shī‘a Positions with Respect to the Usurping Rulers

50

The Accusation of “Exaggeration” (Ghuluww) Against the Shī‘a

56

The Relation of the Shī‘a with the Mu‘tazilah

Imāmah

60

The Secret of Choosing the Imāms

68

Allāh’s Knowledge and the Infallibles’ Knowledge of the Unseen

71

The Countenance of the Imāms’ (peace be upon them) Conduct

74

The Limits of the Imām's Leadership

79

The Number of the Shī'a Imāms

83

Principality of Reason or Narration in Specifying the Imām

Messianism (Mahdawiyyah)

89

Original Source of Belief in Imāmah and Faith
in The Appearance of the Twelfth Imām (peace be upon them)

93

The Qur'an and Messianism (Mahdawiyyat)

97

Belief in the Appearance of the Mahdī
and Savior and the Appearance of False Mahdis

99

The Effect of Social, Economic
and Political Factors in Religious Thought

103

"Mahdī" in a Special Meaning and Technical Usage

107

Controversy Regarding the Date of Birth
of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return)

109

Consensus of the Shī'a Regarding the
Imāmah of Imām Sahib al-Amr (peace be upon them)
after the Demise of Imām Ḥasan al-'Askarī (peace be upon them)

111

The Twelfth Imām's Revolution

113

The title “Al-Qā’im” (The Riser)

124

Two Types of Occultation

128

The Miraculous Birth of the Imām of the Age (peace be upon them)

130

Philosophy of the Delay of Reappearance
with the Presence of Conditions

133

The Length of the Occultation and Difficult and Formidable Trials

138

The Principle of Grace and the Imāmah of the Concealed Imām

142

The Issue of Badā’ (Alteration in the Divine Will)
and the Tradition of Abū Hamza

158

Belief in the Return (Raj’ah) with respect to Belief in the Mahdī (peace be upon them)

Introduction

With the Name of Allāh, the Kind, the Merciful
“O’ Master of the Age, grant us succor!”

Allāh has called all of His creation to obedience to Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), since obedience to him is obedience to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), and obedience to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) is obedience to Allāh, and Allāh has created the entire universe to obey and worship Him.

وَمَا خَلَقْتُ الْجِنَّ وَالْإِنْسَ إِلَّا لِيَعْبُدُونِ

“And I have not created the Jinn and mankind except to worship Me.”¹

In order to “obey the Mahdī (peace be upon them)” one must carry his guardianship and love in the heart; and to attain his love, one must recognize him.

Without recognizing the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), his love cannot take hold in the heart. And without loving him, obeying and following him do not come about. And without following him, devoutness is not possible. And without devoutness, perplexity and misguidedness and wandering in the darkness will result; it is as we have been taught in the form of supplications:

اللَّهُمَّ عَرِّفْنِي حُجَّتَكَ فَإِنَّكَ إِن لَّمْ تُعَرِّفْنِي حُجَّتَكَ ضَلَلْتُ عَنْ دِينِي

“O’ Allāh, make me recognize Your authority, for if You don’t make me recognize him, I shall go astray in my religion.”²

¹ Sūrah Dāriāt (51), Verse 56

² Al-Kāfi, Volume 1, Page 337, Ḥadīth 5

And they have said:

مَنْ أَنْكَرَ الْمَهْدِي فَقَدْ كَفَرَ

“One who denies the Mahdī (peace be upon him) has become a disbeliever.”

And also:

مَنْ مَاتَ وَلَمْ يَعْرِفْ إِمَامَ زَمَانِهِ مَاتَ مَيِّتَةً جَاهِلِيَّةً

“One who dies without recognizing the Imām of his time dies the death of the Age of Ignorance.”³

It is on this basis that the religion of Islām does not take form except within the limits of “Shīaism”—which is the true conformity to religion.

And obedience to the religious commands has no meaning except on the basis of “*Imāmah*”—which is the Divine leadership.

And belief in “*Imāmah*” and leadership in the era after the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) does not take shape except with accepting the principle of the “Mahdī”—which is the universal dissemination of the guidance.

“Shīaism” is nothing other than the reality of the pure Muḥammadan Islām.

“*Imāmah*” is nothing other than the continuity of the mission of the Noble Prophet of Islām (peace be upon him and his family).

And “Mahdism” is nothing other than the life and vitality of “*Imāmah*” in the era of the concealment and the worldwide rule of Divine unity and justice in the era of the reappearance.

³ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 8, Page 368, Ḥadīth 41

It is this very way of thinking that has compelled the enemies of Islām to make all kinds of plots and conspiracies to obliterate Shīaism, *Imāmah*, and belief in the Mahdī.

Always, the Shi‘a have been put under pressure in order for the non-believers and hypocrites to be able to pursue their activities.

In order to strengthen the Islām of the caliphs and justify the expediency-based rulings of the apparently Muslim rulers, objections have been raised regarding the issue of *Imāmah*.

And in order to crush the combatants and make reformers despair, the very principal of the Mahdī has been subject to denial.

In spite of all this, it is only the “authenticity of Shīaism,” the “majesty of the *Imāmah*,” and the “integrity of Mahdīsm” that guaranteed the preservation of the true prophetic traditions, the becoming reality of the Qur’ānic guidance, and the progress of the revolutionary and ideal teachings of the Divine leaders.

The book in front of you is one of the valuable works of the eminent teacher and well-known researcher, Āyatullāh al-‘Uẓmā Şāfi Gulpāygānī. It has been written in the form of questions and answers—which is one of the most influential ways of research and writing—about the three main topics of “Shīaism,” “*Imāmah*,” and “Mahdīsm.” It has answered the existing objections according to what is necessary and possible in the limits of this brief book.

Allāh is the provider of *tawfiq*

Research Unit of the Holy Masjid of Jamkaran, Sha’ban, 1419

Shī‘ism



Historical Factors Have Not Influenced the Origin of Shīaism

QUESTION:

Have historical factors influenced the development of Shīaism, or is this sect a set of beliefs derived from the Qur’ān and the clear traditions of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family)?

ANSWER:

We need to explain several points in order to shed light on the topic and show that:

- (i) historical factors and events had no role in the development of Shīaism and the belief in the existence of an Imām who will save humanity
- (ii) all beliefs of the Shi’a are entirely Islāmic and are derived from the same sources that the remainder of Muslim beliefs, from the Unity of Allāh to the Day of Judgement, are derived from.

A. The Origin of Shīaism In the Prophet's Time

In accordance with firm historical evidence and abundant traditions, the origin and formation of Shīaism was during the time of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). It began in the very first years of the prophetic mission and was completed by conveying *Ḥadīth al-Thaqalain* and officially and publicly proclaiming it during the event of *Ghadir Khumm*.

Of course, during his final illness, the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) wished to put that ḥadīth in written form, and strong historical evidence and narrations indicate that ‘Umar’s obstruction and the disrespect shown to the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) prevented him from having it written.

The principles of Shī‘a belief have been referred to in various places in the Holy Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) words of guidance. By way of example, the issue of the leadership of the Muslim community (*‘ummah*), brought up many times at suitable occasions, can be found among the sayings of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). The importance of the issue of *Imāmah* (leadership of the *‘ummah*) has been emphasized in his sayings to an extent that in one of his well-known and in fact *mutawātir* (consecutively-narrated) traditions he says:

مَنْ مَاتَ وَلَمْ يَعْرِفْ إِمَامَ زَمَانِهِ مَاتَ مَيِّتَةً أَلْجَاهِلِيَّةَ.

“One who dies without recognizing the Imām of his time dies the death of the Days of Ignorance (before the advent of Islām).”⁴

Death while ignorant of the Imām has been regarded as equal to dying during the Age of Ignorance – or rather they have been

⁴ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 8, p. 368, in the course of Allamah Majlisi’s commentary

considered the same thing. According to consecutively narrated traditions, the conditions of the Imām, which tribe he is from, and the fact that the number of Imāms (peace be upon them) is twelve all have been explained by the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

Similarly, the qualities of the Imām's knowledge, his spiritual characteristics, and that he must be the most knowledgeable and perfect of all human beings have been explained in the Qur'ān and traditions, as has the fact that the successorship of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and *Imāmate* of the *ummah* after him is a Divine post that, just like prophethood itself, is appointed by Allāh.

Shī'a thought was established in the very first years of the advent of Islām on the basis of the original sources of Islām. However, at that time the opposing school of thought – which some time later came to be known as Sunni thought – did not exist and the Muslims were not divided into two branches. This is because those who, after the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) death, propagated the opposing view – which caused a division in the Muslim ranks – were unable to openly position themselves against the pure Islām, which later came to be known as Shī'a Islām.

This division officially became apparent after the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) when a group gathered in *Saqifah* and chose a successor for the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

We must add that according to the guidance provided in the Holy Qur'ān, in Islām, a reliable source and authority for explaining, organizing, and legislating beliefs has been foreseen and in numerous verses has been clearly stated, such as in Sūrah Nisā:

وَلَوْ رَدُّوهُ إِلَى الرَّسُولِ وَإِلَى أُولِي الْأَمْرِ مِنْهُمْ لَعَلِمَهُ الَّذِينَ يَسْتَنْبِطُونَهُ مِنْهُمْ.

“Although, were they to refer that to the Messenger (peace be upon him and his family) and those in authority among them, those among them who understand the roots of the issues would know it.”⁵

From this verse, it is understood that leadership is exclusive to the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and the *ulu ‘l-amr*, who are the infallible Imāms.

According to *mutawātir* traditions, the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) has clearly introduced this virtuous authority, which is none other than the progeny and Imāms from the Ahl al-Baīt of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). He has said, “They are with the Qur’ān and the Qur’ān is with them, and they and the Qur’ān shall never separate from each other.”

In fact, in one ḥadīth, he has added:

فَإِنْ فِينَا أَهْلُ أَلْبَيْتِ فِي كُلِّ خَلْفٍ عُدُولًا يَنْفُونَ عَنْهُ تَحْرِيفَ الْعَالِينَ
وَأَنْتِهَالَ الْمُبْطِلِينَ.

“Among us, the Ahl al-Baīt, in every generation there are found people firm in religion who protect the religion from the tampering of extremists and the mischief of the astray.”⁶

B. The Origin of the Issue of Khilāfah

From the first days of the prophetic mission (*bi`thah*) the issue of leadership of the Islamic Nation after the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) was more or less in people’s minds. The

⁵ Sūrah Nisa (4), verse 83

⁶ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 2, p. 92, footnote 21

story of the man who made his acceptance of Islām conditional upon becoming the leader after the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), which the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) did not accept, is well known.

The Shī'a viewpoint about successorship of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) is a point that was announced by divine command before the people during the time of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) by the Prophet himself. At that time, none opposed it; rather, all the people – even those who later were involved in the events at Saqīfah – celebrated it, and while pledging allegiance congratulated the Imām (peace be upon him). But from that very instant they began covertly planning and plotting and reached a point where they wished to assassinate the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family)!

After the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), the issue became a crisis and the opponents, with unusual severity and hard-heartedness, acted in the name of expediency and by threats and plotting created such an atmosphere that in the end they opposed the arrangements the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had announced, to the extent that they insulted and transgressed the personality of Fātimah az-Zahrā (peace be upon him and his family) and distanced the course of Muslim history from the path the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had specified.

With the limitless cruelty they showed, they even trampled the dignity of the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) only offspring. Of course, because of the policy 'Alī (peace be upon him) pursued, two schools – Shī'a and Sunni – did not come into open and violent confrontation. The issue only remained in the minds of those who thought about the legitimacy of the government;

others, either indifferent to the matter or associated with the ruling party, did not discuss it. They may very well have considered it settled. However, people like ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb were aware that in the face of the arrangements announced by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) the legitimacy of their actions would always be under question. Thus, they prevented the return of the people to that authentic Islāmic thinking by using political devices, and this is the reason that for about a century and a half they forbade traditions from the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). And since ‘Umar knew that if he did not find a way to sideline ‘Alī (peace be upon him) after him ‘Alī (peace be upon him) would definitely assume leadership, he plotted a new strategy.

He knew that if the testament – about which it is not known whether it is authentic or whether ‘Uthmān added it to the document – was not attributed to Abū Bakr, Shī‘a thinking would again rise after ‘Umar’s death and their plotting would be fruitless.

He thus devised a six-member council and specified its mandate in such a way as to eliminate Amīr al-Mu’minīn (peace be upon him).

In spite of this, the program specified by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) was revived in memories and finally, in the end of ‘Uthmān’s period, his oppression aroused general anger and disgust towards him and stirred the Muslims to rise against him. In this way the issue of successorship of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) was again raised and many companions returned to the Prophet’s original dictate and declared ‘Alī (peace be upon him) the rightful successor of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and regarded jihad under him (peace be upon him) the highest form of worship.

Thus, the Shī'a belief about the succession to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) was never forgotten and people's hearts were never without attachment to the Ahl al-Baīt and awareness that they had been oppressed and their right usurped. People's statements and the odes of poets such as al-Farazdaq show that the Shī'a point of view existed and even an individual like Mūsāibn Nasir – the ruler of Africa whose slave, Tāriq, conquered Spain – in spite of being one of the officials of Banū Umayya's government, was a proponent of Shī'a thought. For this very reason, in spite of all of his services, in the end his property was confiscated and he was removed from office.

In fact, events came to such a pass that this point of view even penetrated the family of Mu'āwiya and Yazīd, and Yazīd's son officially condemned his grandfather and father and acknowledged the right of 'Alī and the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them). The situation was the same in the time of Banū 'Abbās as well.

From the government's point of view the rightfulness and genuineness of Shī'a thought should not have been put forth and followers of this school should not have had official responsibilities. But the situation was such that the oppressive and usurping rulers of Banū 'Abbās such as Ma'nşūr, Hārūn, and Ma'mūn, were aware of the truth of this Shī'a thought, even though in practice they crushed it.

As a result of the spread of Shī'a thought, Muntaşir and some other rulers from Banū 'Abbās became favorably disposed to this view in the issue of succession to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). It has been said that Nāsir, in whose time the cellar of occultation in Sāmarra was inspected, declared himself Shī'a, and it has been narrated that he regarded himself the deputy of the twelfth Imām (peace be upon him).

From the sum of the above facts it becomes clear that the true Islām, which is the same Shī'a thought and Islām that existed in the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), has been there over the last fourteen centuries and history played no role in its existence. Rather, the existence of this point of view played a part in the coming about of major movements, risings, and events. Contrary to what some simple and misinformed people think, it must be said that Shī'a governments in Egypt, Africa, and the Dayalima in Irān and Iraq, and finally the rise of the Safawiyya were all events brought about by Shī'a thought; they played no role in bringing it about.

C. Sunnism and its Sectarian Meaning (in opposition to Shīaism) After the Prophet's Time

The analysis that Shīaism, like Sunnism, had from the beginning a political form and gradually developed a religious basis is incorrect. Opposition to the successor announced by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had a political aspect and that same political behavior caused division and conflict and brought into existence a new opinion in opposition to belief in *Imāmah*. It resulted in the followers of pure Islām, in the form of a faction and with the name Shī'a, developing a political orientation.

But the policy the Shī'a as a political group pursued after this affair was based on the true teachings of Islām. Before it acquired a political tint, it was a principle pertaining to belief and religion, and it was a creed that included politics.

Thus, politicians would oppose this creed and strove to introduce a new sect and school of thought in opposition to it. In this way, at great expense and by bribing, threatening, and terrorizing, they in

later periods gave a religious form to the policies that made the *khilāfah* (succession) deviate from its specified course.

Of course, this movement wanted only to acquire the government, and if they hadn't seen this aspect in Shiaism, they would not have opposed it and would not have introduced a sect by the name of Sunnism in opposition to it.

Thus, politics was the motive for opposition to Shiaism and the command announced by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). In the beginning, when the leaders of this party started their activities in those confused times, they hadn't yet put forward a clear way of thinking.

Many factors, primary among which was the threat of the destruction of Islām through internal armed strife, prevented the religio-political leaders from reaching for their swords, and this aided the leaders of the anti-Shī'a school in taking hold of affairs.

Since they had no firm thinking for them to follow in practice and in no case respected the principle of *bay'ah* (allegiance) and election by the people, the basis of their government was coercion and tyranny.

After the event of Saqīfah which was the reason for Abū Bakr's assumption of power, 'Umar, with the peculiar coarseness and roughness that he possessed, drew his sword and roamed the streets, forcing the people to pledge allegiance to Abū Bakr. This coercion came to such a pass that they even demanded allegiance of 'Alī (peace be upon him) and forcibly took him to the masjid to obtain his allegiance, after unspeakable insolence to Lady Fāṭima (peace be upon her) and desecrating the sanctity of her house.

The government of 'Umar himself, which he claimed was formed in accordance to Abū Bakr's testament, was such that they said that when Abū Bakr was on his deathbed and was in an out of

consciousness, he endeavored to write a testament. In that situation, without him specifying the ruler after him, ‘Uthmān wrote ‘Umar’s name in the testament. When Abū Bakr returned to consciousness, he affirmed it!

Whatever it was, was there even a testament in place? In any case, ‘Umar came to power and no one so much as said to Abū Bakr, “*Pain has overcome him*”⁷; no regard is given to what this ailing man, who has lost his reason, says. Yet with this very excuse they prevented the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) from writing a testament!

Be that as it may, with Abū Bakr’s appointment ‘Umar took control of power and himself appointed a six-member council for after his death.

Thus, we come to know that there was no harmonious idea based on the people’s right to election involved in the affair. However, when ‘Uthmān was killed, the Muslims rushed to ‘Alī’s (peace be upon him) door – and though, according to the Shī‘a, he alone was the rightful ruler – all pledged allegiance to him. Afterwards, though the opponents of the Shī‘a strove to find a religious basis for government and put forward the idea of general allegiance or that of the upper class and other contradictory ideas – even force and overpowering – as such a basis, in reality the standard was nothing but coercion. They acted in such a way that the people had no choice but pledging allegiance to the successor appointed by the ruler.

Thus, the Shī‘a’s opponents had no overall program of government, and even in current times one of their biggest researchers, who has realized this fact, says:

⁷ *Commentary on Nahj al-Balāghah*, Ibn Abi ‘l-Hadīd, vol. 2, p. 55

“In fact, Islām has not foreseen a particular method in the politics of selecting a ruler; any form the people themselves specify becomes the law and is implemented.”

D. Cause of the Division of Muslims into two factions, Sunni and Shī‘a

The fact is that the real reason for this split was the love of status and power.

A few saw that with the situation that had taken shape, they would have no part in the future leadership; thus from the very time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) they began grouping and conspiring. One of their major plans was to introduce and then propagate a new school of thought in opposition to the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) stance. They raised the slogan "حَسْبُنَا كِتَابُ اللَّهِ" (The Book of Allāh suffices us) to reduce the value of the existing traditions about *Imāmah*, and in the end they introduced these traditions as worthless. For this very reason when the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) wished to write his testament, since they knew this written testament would reinforce his oral testaments, they put up firm resistance. In words also related by Ahl al-Sunnah, ‘Umar said,

غَلَبَ عَلَيْهِ الْوَجَعُ. حَسْبُنَا كِتَابُ اللَّهِ.

“Illness has overcome him; the book of Allāh suffices us.”⁸

According to the narration of others, he said,

"إِنَّ الرَّجُلَ لَيَهْجُرُ."

⁸ *Commentary on Nahj al-Balāghah*, Ibn Abi ‘l-Hadīd, vol. 2 p. 55

“The man (The Prophet) speaks nonsense!”⁹ (God forbid!)

In either case, he stood in the way and said, “The book of Allāh suffices us,” meaning that we have no need of the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) testament and his explicit statements.

The title Shī‘a was given to the followers of ‘Alī (peace be upon him) in that period by the Prophet himself (peace be upon him and his family). The Prophet called his sincere followers the Shī‘a. But this did not result in the division of the Muslims into two groups. Though people like Salmān, Abū Dharr, and Miqdād had a firm belief in ‘Alī (peace be upon him) from that time, the opponents were not yet an independent group, and these admonitions of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) about *Imāmah* meant that all should follow Imām ‘Alī (peace be upon him).

But after the death of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), opposition to this command came out in the open and the love of power and ruling over others – which some had set their sights on – caused some, in spite of the Prophet’s explicit statements about ‘Alī’s successorship, to oppose that and cause division in the ranks of the Muslims.

If we wish to hide the facts and present a different explanation, even if untrue, we have to say that this division started when because of their weak faith a group of Muslims did not give the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) words and counsel the same status as revelation and assumed the book of Allāh is sufficient for people’s guidance and there is no need for the Prophet’s words. It is as if they regarded themselves as the Prophet’s equals in grasping the Qur’ān’s principles and purposes.

⁹ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 30 p. 535

Thus, they did not follow the path he (peace be upon him and his family) had specified and favored their personal opinion and the benefit and harm they themselves perceived for themselves over the Prophet's commands. Or else they considered some of the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) commands as being related to government and administration of society, but considered them modifiable as conditions required.

They presumed the successorship was just such an issue and believed that even if the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had appointed his own successor, since his words and actions – in their view – did not have the status of revelation, opposition to them is permissible. Thus after the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) death these people ignored the Prophet's command and set it aside and with these false excuses removed the successorship from its specified course.

Even though they had no proper system of thought for the administration of society in those conditions on which they could base the *khilāfah*, still they insisted that the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) appointee shouldn't take charge of the administration of society, or it isn't expedient. In spite of the fact that in some issues they insisted on implementing the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) command, here their conduct was the opposite, just as, when the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) appointed 'Usāmah as the leader of the army, they did not leave him in his post. In any case, they thought it was their right to adjust the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) commands, carry out any changes or alterations they thought necessary and make use of pretexts that are worse than the crime itself.

In opposition to this group it was Imām ‘Alī (peace be upon him) and a small group of his followers who believed in the truth of the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) teachings and commands and would say that the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) words have the ruling of revelation, or rather that they are in fact revelation, as the Qur’ān says in this regard:

وَمَا يَنْطِقُ عَنِ الْهَوَىٰ ۖ إِنْ هُوَ إِلَّا وَحْيٌ يُوحَىٰ.

“He speaks not of his own desire; it is naught but revelation that is revealed.”¹⁰

And the verse:

وَمَا آتَاكُمُ الرَّسُولُ فَخُذُوهُ وَمَا نَهَاكُمْ عَنْهُ فَانْتَهُوا.

“What the Messenger has brought you, take, and what he forbids you from, avoid.”¹¹

refers to the commands of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), which must be implemented without alteration, and we are in no way free of need of the Prophet’s counsels and teachings. The religion of Islām is perfect and comprehensive from all aspects and no defect can be imagined in it.

This group was called *Ahl al-Naṣṣ* (followers of the religious texts). They would say that the path of re-interpreting and contextualizing these traditions is closed and the succession of Imām ‘Alī (peace be upon him) was conveyed at Allāh’s command to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) by revelation.

¹⁰ Sūrah Najm (53), verses 3-4

¹¹ Sūrah Hashr (59), verse 7

يَا أَيُّهَا الرَّسُولُ بَلِّغْ مَا أُنْزِلَ إِلَيْكَ مِنْ رَبِّكَ...

“O’ Messenger! Convey that which has been revealed to thee by thy Lord...”¹²

In any case, the Muslims were in this way split into two camps. In truth, using the term “Ahl al-Sunnat” (followers of the sunnat) to refer to those who rejected, altered, and falsely interpreted the sunnat is incorrect. Instead, those deserving this title are the ones who remained attached to the Qur’ān and Prophetic *sunnat* (conduct).

Incidentally, intent of those who by clinging to “The book of Allāh suffices us” split the Muslims into two camps is that the basic matter of the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) messengership is the book of Allāh and there is no need of the Prophetic sunnat. Even though this group, with their way of thinking, opposed the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) explicit command regarding Imām ‘Alī (peace be upon him), after they had sidelined ‘Alī (peace be upon him) they returned to the Prophet’s sunnat in many instances, since they saw that their fallacious way of thinking could not go forward. By raising the slogan “*The book of Allāh suffices us*” it is not possible to obtain needed rulings and solve society’s difficulties.

Of course, the opponents of the Shi’a thought benefited substantially from such slogans and deceived a large group, most of whom were commoners and unaware, and they prevented the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) from writing his testament. With this excuse they marginalized those who said the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) command regarding the successorship of ‘Alī (peace be upon him) must be respected and

¹² Sūrah Ma’idah (5), verse 67

made it their principle that only the Qur'ān is central. Their aim was that the traditions of Ghadir, *yawn al-dār*, and other aḥādīth not be mentioned. Later, when they saw that without the traditions it is not possible to manage the affairs they became involved in *ijtihād* (juristic reasoning) in opposition to *aḥādīth* (the exercise of personal opinion) and altered the commandments of Allāh and turned to false interpretations, explanations, and analogy, and they subjected many traditions to doubt.

The origin of the Shī'a school, like the origin of Islām itself, is not related to historical events. Of course, events had and have an effect on people's political positions and the occurrence of certain happenings, but is not the primary factor on all matters. For example, one of the causes and wisdoms in the concealment of the twelfth Imām (peace be upon him) – as indicated by some traditions – was that he (peace be upon him) not be caught up in allegiance to oppressive rulers. However, his existence (peace be upon him) and concealment, according to consecutively narrated (*mutawātir*) traditions, was a destined affair determined in advance and which occurred according to that plan. It is not that the issue of *Imāmah* came about gradually through time and the course of history has made it necessary.

Through historical research it becomes clear that it is the Sunni school of thought about the succession that came about as a result of a chain of historical causes; otherwise Shī'a thought about the principle of *Imāmah*, as explained several times, was founded at the beginning of the Prophetic mission (*bi'thah*) as a result of Allāh's command and the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) clear instructions.

Thus, it was Shī'a thought that influenced history, not history that created it.

Opponents of the Shī‘a school of thought say that there was no guidance from the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) in this regard. Thus, after the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) demise, the concern and confusion that had taken hold of the Muslims caused them to specify someone as *khalifah*. This was accomplished in Saqifah after much discussion and searching that resulted in Abū Bakr being chosen as the Prophet’s successor. Subsequently, in order to avoid unpleasant events and chaos in society, Abū Bakr appointed his successor and ‘Umar in turn specified a six-member council for after his death to make a decision in this regard.

All of these occurrences had particular reasons at the head of which was political goals. Though the supporters of this point of view try to portray this important historical happening as natural, facts are at odds with its being natural. On the other hand, in numerous ways they support the Shī‘a point of view about *Imāmah*.

E. The Religious Basis for Support of the Leadership of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them)

Support for the leadership of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) from the beginning was based on Islāmic teachings. Those who opposed Saqifah and the succession of Abū Bakr had no motive except their religious duty and guarding the teachings and guidance of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

Referring to books like *The Origin of the Shī‘a and their Principles*, *History of the Shī‘a*, *The Shī‘a in History*, and tens of other Shī‘a and Sunni books will at least demonstrate that inclination to Shīaism from the beginning has had only a religious motive.

The sermons of Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) in *Nahj al-Balāgha* affirm that the true position of the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) has truly been material, spiritual, and religious leadership, of which governing is a branch.



The Shī'a and Armed Uprising

QUESTION:

Is armed uprising one of the conditions of the *Imāmah* of the Imām? Is armed uprising unconditionally and in all situations part of the agenda of the Shī'a? In other words, must the Shī'a always be in a state of armed conflict with oppressive systems of government, or are the same conditions relevant here as are mentioned about enjoining good and forbidding evil? Also, what was the role of the Shī'a in the armed uprisings against the government of Banū Umayyah?

ANSWER:

The Shī'a have no agenda regarding jihād against the infidels except the agenda of Islām, which has been explained in depth on books of jurisprudence, and which many jurisprudents consider obligatory only in case of the presence and call of the Imām.

However, defending the heart of Islām and honor of the Muslims and repelling the enemies' attacks from the Islāmic borders – whether physical, cultural, or economical – is a general obligation.

In fact, according to the verse of the Qur'ān preparation to defend and guard the physical and cultural borders is a Divine obligation.

وَأَعِدُّوا لَهُ مِمَّا اسْتَطَعْتُمْ مِنْ قُوَّةٍ وَمِنْ رِبَاطِ الْخَيْلِ تُرْهِبُونَ بِهِ عَدُوَّ اللَّهِ وَعَدُوَّكُمْ.

“And prepare what strength you are able and trained horses with which you frighten the enemy of Allāh and your enemy.”¹³

In the physical battlefield, this is by acquiring military weaponry and in the cultural or economic battlefield by acquiring the provisions peculiar to that arena. In this aspect, the time of the Imām's presence is no different from the time of his absence.

Just as a Muslim's house, dependents, property, and self must be safe from danger and attack by outsiders,

وَمَنْ قُتِلَ دُونَ مَالِهِ فَهُوَ شَهِيدٌ

“One who is killed defending his possessions is a martyr”¹⁴

the Islāmic homeland as well – which is the home of all – must be free from danger.

This is the gist of the method of dealing with external enemies. As for dealing with internal anti-Islāmic events and factors that hypocritically inflict damage on Islām and Muslims on account of seeking power, the positions taken to repel these dangers must be such as are able to remove that anti-Islāmic movement.

Of course, in instances where this movement jeopardizes the existence of Islām or threatens the laws of Islām and society's

¹³ Sūrah Anfāl (8), verse 6

¹⁴ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 1, p. 226

security and repelling this danger depends on an armed movement, armed uprising becomes obligatory.

In short, in the Shī'a way of thinking, complacency with respect to opposing and oppressive events is condemned.

A Muslim must give importance to everything that is related to the honor and grandeur of Islām and Muslims and to elevating the word of Allāh and must always act according to his duty.

Still, armed uprising is not among the conditions of the *Imāmah* of the Imām as has been attributed to the Zaydī sect. It is not the case that every leader of an armed group, if from the descendants and family of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), is regarded as Imām. And one who apparently had no armed uprising and struggle cannot, for this reason, be declared not to be the Imām, as was the case with Imām Zāin al-Ābidīn, Imām Muḥamad al-Bāqir, and Imām Ja'far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him). This is because:

First, their non-armed policies were more effective than armed uprising in elevating the name of Islām, guarding the truth, and protecting the shari'at in their time.

Second, as has been related in the tradition of Maḥmud ibn Labīd from Fāṭimah az-Zahrā (peace be upon her):

مَثَلُ الْإِمَامِ مَثَلُ الْكَعْبَةِ إِذْ يُؤْتَى وَلَا يَأْتِي^{١٥}

It is the people's duty to gather round the candle of the Imām's existence and present themselves to assist him, elevate the name of Islām, and guard the objectives of the religion. In such a situation, the Imām chooses whatever position is appropriate.

¹⁵ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 36, p. 352

Thus, Amir al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), after the death of 'Uthmān, did not leave the people without an answer when they rushed to him from all directions to pledge allegiance with that commotion and longing. He said:

أَمَّا وَالَّذِي فَلَقَ الْحَبَّةَ وَبَرَأَ النَّسَمَةَ لَوْلَا حُضُورُ الْحَاضِرِ وَقِيَامُ الْحُجَّةِ
بُوجُودِ النَّاصِرِ وَمَا أَخَذَ اللَّهُ عَلَى الْعُلَمَاءِ إِلَّا يُقَارُوا عَلَى كِطَّةٍ ظَالِمٍ وَلَا
سَعْبٍ مَظْلُومٍ لِأَلْقَيْتُ حَبْلَهَا عَلَى غَارِبِهِ أَوْ لَسَقَيْتُ آخِرَهَا بِكَأْسِ أَوَّلِهِ
وَلَأَلْفَيْتُمْ دُنْيَاكُمْ هَذِهِ أَزْهَدَ عِنْدِي مِنْ عَطْفَةِ عَنَزٍ.

"Lo, I swear by the One who split the seed and created man, were it not for the crowd that had come to me and the establishment of the argument by the presence of supporters, and were it not for the covenant Allāh has taken from the 'ulama' (scholars) not to remain silent in face of the waste of the oppressors and hunger of the oppressed, I would have abandoned the ropes of the khilāfah and filled its latter part with the cup of its former part. You would then have well understood that your world [with all its attractions] is worth less to me than the water that comes out of a sheep's nose!"¹⁶

As for the armed revolts against Banū Umayyah, apart from the rebellions of the Khawārij – none of which reached fruition – the cause and motive of all other uprisings was to avenge the blood of Sayyid al-Shuhadā' (the Prince of Martyrs) Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) and object to the oppression of Ahl al-Bāit (peace be upon them). Among those uprisings were those of 'Ayn al-Wardah and Mukhtār, in both of which a large number of Shi'ā participated. Subsequently, there was the revolt of Zaid and other uprisings, all of

¹⁶ *Nahj al-Balāghah*, edited by Subhi al-Ṣālih, sermon 3, section 17

which sprang from love of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) and declaring aversion and hatred towards Banū Umayyah. Therefore, we see that a man like Kumāil participates in the uprising of `Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muḥammad ibn Ash`ath or in the last revolt which resulted in the termination of Banū Umayyah's rule and the end of their dominion over most of the lands of Islām.

The true motive for the tragic events of Karbalā' and the heart-rending martyrdom of Zaid, in a word, was the oppression of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them).

Thus, what was important in these uprisings against Banū Umayyah was the role of the Shī'a and making use of the oppressed position of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them), though after the martyrdom of the Prince of Martyrs (peace be upon him) the remaining Imāms did not revolt since they did not see conditions as appropriate for the establishment of a just and Islāmic government through armed uprising. So they became involved performing their Divine duty in other trenches, especially in spreading jurisprudence and repelling many innovations.

Even in the events after the success of the last revolt against Banū Umayyah the only personality more fitting than all others for leadership was Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him), but though they recommended this task to the Imām (peace be upon him), he refused to accept. His adopting such a policy was, in the belief of the Shī'a, in accordance with a command of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) that was disclosed to the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) by revelation. In addition, every Imām recognizes better than all else his duty in light of the existing conditions and always gives precedence to the most important matters over all other matters. In this issue, too, if the Imām (peace be upon him) were to accept rulership, the important interests of

Islām would be lost, since it was apparent to every authority that in those conditions there was no possibility of implementing the luminous laws of Islām and establishing a just Islāmic system of government.



Imām Ja‘far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him)
and the Shī‘a School of Thought

QUESTION:

Was Imām Ja‘far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) the founder of the Shī‘a school or its promulgator and explainer?

ANSWER:

Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) acquainted the public with the original Shī‘a school of thought, which perhaps even some friends and devotees of Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) had not recognized properly. By establishing that vast school of knowledge, he familiarized the people with the realities of true Islām, which are fulfilled by following ‘Alī and the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them). This was while in the periods before Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) the opportunity for disseminating knowledge was not to the extent that it reached in his time.

This does not mean that Imām Ja‘far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) is the founder of Shī‘a thought, since as explained earlier Shī‘a thought existed in the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and

his family) in an organized and systematic manner. *Mutawātir* traditions and the illuminating guidance of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had specified its limits, and passage of time and occurrences had no share in its development. Of course, these affairs had an influence in its propagation, promulgation, and organization in later periods, especially in the time of Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) and Imām al-Bāqir (peace be upon him), and in fact these very incidents made more clear the truth of this school of thought as opposed to the opposing school of thought.

One of the reasons for the success of Shī‘a thought in the issue of *Imāmah* was that during the rule of Banū Umayyah the people witnessed conduct and actions of the claimants to succession of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) which were incompatible with any of the Islāmic laws and principles.

This conduct even became a cause for the people’s rebellion against them in different instances, though most of these rebellions were quelled by force and in appearance the government of Banū ‘Umayyah continued, but overall these events caused the Shī‘a school to spread and become entrenched in people’s hearts.



Before Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him)

QUESTION:

Has the Shī‘a school been discussed in the speech of the religious leaders before Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) ?

ANSWER:

As we mentioned before, Shīaism is an genuine Islāmic school introduced by the person of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), and all can understand this point from the matter found in *Nahj al-Balāghah* and the sayings of Amīr al-Mu‘minīn (peace be upon him) .

Imām Muḥammad al-Bāqir (peace be upon him) and Imām Ja‘far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) explained the various dimensions of this school for the people and perfected the people’s information about it and eliminated the over- and under-exaggeration existing regarding this issue.

They demonstrated that the principle of *Imāmah* was an genuine and entirely Islāmic concept and the reference point of explaining its reality and limits are the Imāms (peace be upon them) , just as they are

the reference for explaining all Islāmic concepts and terminology and Qur'ānic verses. When their unparalleled and great-learned position became apparent, all understood that those eminent men possessed all abilities and at the same time were the sole reliable source in recognizing the principle of *Imāmah* and its entire and original concept. Of course, this does not mean that we should presume that the principle of *Imāmah* was their innovation or, as some who do not believe in the unseen world say, a product of history.

Among the reliable traditions according to Ahl al-Sunnat are the traditions of 'Alī ibn Ḥusayn (peace be upon him), Imām Muḥammad al-Bāqir (peace be upon him), and Imām Ja'far as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him); as Aḥmad Shākir has written in his commentary of *al-Ba'ith al-Hathith*, the spiritual position and *Imāmah* of the Imāms before these two Imāms was very firm in the hearts of the people.

The belief that the Imāms are *al-Qur'ān al-Nāṭiq* (the Qur'ān that speaks), i.e. they know the specific Qur'ānic meanings and expressions, was raised on numerous occasions previous to Imām Muḥammad al-Bāqir (peace be upon him) in the words of his noble father Imām 'Alī Zāin al-'Ābidīn (peace be upon him) and before him in the traditions of Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), Imām al-Ḥasan (peace be upon him), and Imām al-Ḥusayn (peace be upon him). The Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) has pointed to this issue in traditions that have passed the limit of *tawatur* (consecutive narration) and he (peace be upon him and his family) introduced the Imāms as equals of the Qur'ān. In the books of Ahl al-Sunnat, a sermon has been narrated from Imām 'Alī Zāin al-'Ābidīn (peace be upon him) in which the placing of *Imāmah* in Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) and their being the sole referral authority and Divine authority for mankind has been discussed explicitly and in depth.



Shī‘a Ideology is Practical

QUESTION:

Keeping in view the fact that aside from the short five-year period of Amīr al-Mu‘minīn (peace be upon him), the administration of society was not in the hands of the Imāms (peace be upon them), to what extent is the system of religious government according to the Shī‘a view practicable and able to be implemented in society?

ANSWER:

Shī‘a thought is a logical school that has and has always had the possibility of being implemented in the core of its teachings.

The Shī‘a view about the issue of *Imāmah* is that after the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) the religious and political leader of society must be someone who knows better than all else all the laws and principles the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) brought for the people from Allāh. Without doubt during the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) none but ‘Alī (peace be upon him) possessed this distinction, and therefore the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) selected

‘Alī (peace be upon him) to succeed him and then introduced the rest of the Shī‘a Imāms, who are twelve people in all, to the people and appointed them his successors.

Certainly, this was not because of their physical relationship with the Prophet; rather their spiritual attributes, intellectual abilities and so forth became the reason that Allāh chose them alone from among the people to succeed the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), just as the Qur’ān says about the successors of the prophets as well:

إِنَّ اللَّهَ اصْطَفَىٰ آدَمَ وَنُوحًا وَآلَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ وَآلَ عِمْرَانَ عَلَى الْعَالَمِينَ.

“Verily Allāh chose Adam, Nuh, the family of Ibrahim, and the family of ‘Imran over all the people of the world.”¹⁷

If the people want to tread the true path in all matters, they must follow them and regard them as *walī al-amr* (guardians of the believers’ affairs), must consider it obligatory to obey them, and must respect their commands just like the commands of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). At the same time, the laws and policies broached in the Shī‘a school are not imaginary and unreal affairs that could be said to be unable to be implemented. Rather, they are the most genuine Islāmic teachings that, if conditions are available, can be implemented in every society.

If we see that during a portion of history some people prevented their political aspect from being realized, it does not mean that they cannot be implemented. Rather, since these laws were formulated in view of the realities of the existence of man, all human societies are in search of them, and according to the belief of Shī‘as, will in the end reach them. This undertaking will be accomplished at the

¹⁷ Sūrah al-Imrān (3), verse 33

end of time through the last Divine authority (*ḥujjat*), and human society will be administered by a single system and law.

In addition, what is fundamental in the call of the prophets is to explain the realities and the path of salvation and the way that leads to ultimate success, which must be announced to the people even in case of certainty that it will not be accepted:

إِنَّا هَدَيْنَاهُ السَّبِيلَ إِمَّا شَاكِرًا وَإِمَّا كَفُورًا.

“Surely We have guided him to the Path, whether he be grateful or ungrateful.”¹⁸

This is because the responsibility of the prophet is to propagate the Divine laws, among which is *Imāmah*; it is the people who must accept the prophets’ invitation and cooperate with the prophets and Imāms (peace be upon them) to make available the opportunity to put it in practice.

The conduct of the Imāms (peace be upon them) and their policies were all practical, bearing results, and at the same time realistic.

For example, the conduct of Amīr al-Mu’minīn (peace be upon him) was in light of the conditions of existing realities and the conduct of Imām Ḥasan al-Mujtabā (peace be upon him) and Imām Ḥusayn Sayyid al-Shuhadā’ (peace be upon him) was the same way. They performed all their actions while keeping in view existing conditions. For example, if Imām Ḥasan al-Mujtabā (peace be upon him) made peace with Mu’āwiyah, he took into consideration all the aspects of the issue and in those conditions saw no better course of action. And Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) consciously refused to pledge allegiance to Yazīd, went to Karbalā’ and accepted those sorrowful difficulties, and in the end reached his purpose.

¹⁸ Sūrah Insān (76), verse 3

Yes, if Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) had been in a different situation and had seen that the path and provisions for taking charge of the government are ready, he would still have moved to acquire his right and repel the undeserving from the *khilāfah* of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). But conditions in his time were such that he knew that the situation is not suitable for reaching this aim. Thus, with the great and unparalleled mission which he implemented he created a reawakening in the Muslim world, and as long as the world remains the reawakening of Islām's reviver will remain alive.

He, although apparently he did not prevent Yazīd and all the usurpers of the *khilāfah* who came after him from usurping the *khilāfah*, internally turned people's hearts away from them and put to the wind Mu'āwiyah's plots to defeat Islām. He performed such a deed that afterwards it was said, "Islām is Muḥammadi in origin and Ḥusayni in continuance."

The remaining Imāms (peace be upon him), too, performed well the responsibility with which they were entrusted in protecting Islām, keeping in mind existing conditions.

Belief in the appearance of the savior and twelfth Imām gave comfort to the Shī'as and created a spirit of resistance, patience, and perseverance in the people and prevented power-seeking, despair, and carelessness towards religion. It is a belief that has been explicitly mentioned in the core of Shī'a teachings and reliable traditions, and this principle received more attention during the time of Imām al-Bāqir (peace be upon him) and as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) and people's inclination to it increased in light of the transgressions the usurping rulers committed.

The people understood that if some indifferent individuals during the time of the companions – that is, after the Prophet's demise

(peace be upon him and his family) – conjectured that introducing change in the principle of *Imāmah* would not bring much change in the Islāmic agenda, in reality it caused a major tragedy and caused Islām to veer from its true course and the usurped *khilāfah* became a means of hedonism and easy living for a few and of fettering the people and a return to the customs of Caesar, Kisra, and other Satanic powers.

This matter strengthened their faith in the principle of *Imāmah* and they understood that it is only this school of thought that can bring Islām's agenda to fruition and end that deplorable situation.

Thus, the becoming conduct of the Imāms (peace be upon them) on the one hand and the oppressive behavior of the usurpers of the *khilāfah* on the other caused the Shī'a school of thought to become ever more influential in people's hearts, and as a result their inclination to the Imāms (peace be upon them) began to increase. It is because of this that in spite of the efforts of the rulers, Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) was so popular among the masses that the Shī'a themselves are recognized through him.



Shī'a Positions with Respect to the Usurping Rulers

QUESTION:

How were the stands of the Shī'a with respect to the rulers and on what basis were they taken?

ANSWER:

The positions of the Shī'a have always been on the basis of protecting Islām's interests, preserving the religion, and denying the legitimacy of oppressive and usurping governments, and they have always endeavored, in the form of an opposing front, to establish a powerful Islāmic government on the foundation of religious rule.

In explaining the religious concepts, the Shī'a follow only the Qur'ān and *sunnah* and conduct themselves in accordance with the Qur'ānic injunction:

وَجَادِلْهُمْ بِالَّتِي هِيَ أَحْسَنُ

*"And debate with them in the best manner."*¹⁹

¹⁹ Sūrah Naml (16), verse 125

by honorable discussion and debate, and also, in instances, on the basis of *taqiyyah* (dissimulation) which becomes necessary in certain conditions in all times and places. They do this so that they can guide others to true Islām and the true concepts of the religion and remove society from under the yolk of oppressive and usurping rulers and merciless officials.

It is thus that we see that the Shī'a have throughout history always had uprisings against the armed powers.

The Shī'a believe in the *Imāmah* of those whose names and infallibility were explicitly mentioned by the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and in taking positions they always act on the basis of Islāmic teachings and the conduct of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). In situations when the right conditions do not exist, such as a portion of the life of Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), they give preference to silence and apparently sitting aside over rebellion, or conduct themselves like Imām Ḥasan al-Mujtabā (peace be upon him) to save Islām from the threat of splintering.

However, the event of Karbalā' and refusal of Sayyid al-Shuhadā' (peace be upon him) to pledge allegiance to Yazid was an uprising the like of which neither had precedence nor will be seen after it.

That movement was a model and path-opening agenda of struggle for Muslims.

That uprising, though apparently it was crushed and defeated, in reality was a successful uprising, since it revived the true Islām and brushed aside the factors of hopelessness and despair from the faces of the Shī'a and became a cause of their constancy of thought and strength of spirit. After that, no uprising or movement took place among the Shī'a that was crushed and changed hopes to despair, and

the infallible leaders of the Shi‘a knew, as per the traditions and through the (special) knowledge of the *Imāmah* which they possessed, that belief and faith in the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) must be propagated in the hearts of the people so that, through an increase in their awareness and by training capable powers in academic, political, and cultural arenas, they can prevent the usurping rulers from opposing them and in this way prepare the way for the rule of the true Islāmic views.



The Accusation of “Exaggeration” (*Ghuluww*) Against the Shī‘a

QUESTION:

Some writers classify certain sects of the *ghulat* as Shī‘a and may very well accuse the Shī‘a of exaggerating the status of the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them). We know this is a false accusation that even in our times the Wahhabis resort to by publishing and disseminating pamphlets among those unfamiliar with Shī‘a beliefs. If possible, shed some light on this topic.

ANSWER:

The issue of exaggerated beliefs has precedence among previous religious communities. About the Jews and Christians the Qur’ān says,

وَقَالَتِ الْيَهُودُ عُزَيْرُ ابْنُ اللَّهِ وَقَالَتِ النَّصَارَى الْمَسِيحُ ابْنُ اللَّهِ.

“And the Jews said, ‘Uzair is the Son of God,’ and the Christians said, ‘The Messiah is the Son of God.’”²⁰

This disease is also found among the Muslims in various forms, as the ḥadīth denotes:

لَتَسْلُكَنَّ سُبُلَ مَنْ كَانَ قَبْلَكُمْ حَذْوَ النَّعْلِ بِالنَّعْلِ وَالْقُدَّةَ بِالْقُدَّةِ حَتَّى لَوْ أَنَّ أَحَدَهُمْ دَخَلَ حِجْرَ ضَبٍّ لَدَخَلْتُمُوهُ.

*Verily you will follow the paths of those who have gone before you in an exact manner, to the extent that if one of them were to enter a lizard's hole, you would enter it (too).*²¹

One form of the above is the situation that came about regarding Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him).

One group began believing in his divinity and praised him in their poetry as their deity. For example, they said

أَنْتَ خَالِقُ الْخَلَائِقِ مَنْ زَعَزَعَ أَرْكَانَ خَيْبَرَ جَذْمًا
قَدْ رَضِينَا بِهِ إِمَامًا وَمَوْلَى وَسَجَدْنَا لَهُ إِيَّاهُ وَرَبًّا

*You created the universe,
the one who uprooted Khaybar's firm foundations,
We are happy with him as a leader and master,
and prostrate to him as our God and Lord*

A few said such words and poems out of hyperbole and exaggeration, not that they truly considered him their God. In addition, it has been narrated from Imām 'Alī (peace be upon him) himself that he said,

²⁰ Sūrah Tawbah (9), verse 30

²¹ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 21, p. 257

هَلَكَ فِي رَجُلَانِ: مُحِبٌّ غَالٍ وَمُبْغِضٌ قَالٍ.

“Two groups of people will be destroyed on my account: the friend who exaggerates in his friendship and the enemy who dislikes me.”²²

In any case, throughout history there have been and there are individuals who have had exaggerated beliefs, though not all of them to the extent that they raise someone to the status of Allāh. In any case, these ideas are a form of deviation from Islām and the proper Shi‘a creeds. Such beliefs have more often been found among the Sufis, most of who are considered among the Ahl al-Sunnat; ideas such as transmigration (*hulul*), unity (*ittihād*), and so forth tend to be found in their writings.

Fortunately, thanks to the guidance of the Imāms (peace be upon them), not only did the issue of sufism not spread as much among the Shi‘a as among the Ahl al-Sunnat, rather it was also repudiated and condemned by the Imāms (peace be upon them), their followers, and the major scholars.

Thus, associating these issues to the Shi‘a is slander; the Shi‘a beliefs in regard to each of the issues of Divine unity, prophecy, *Imāmah*, and resurrection are free of such exaggerated and devious matters, since the Imāms (peace be upon them) as protectors of the Divine religion acted in such a way over two and half centuries as to close the path for idolatrous beliefs to penetrate, and the limits and boundaries of the fundamentals of Shi‘a thought and doctrine became known. Afterwards, the scholars clearly explained all of these beliefs by compiling and writing books of doctrine, such as the *I‘tiqādāt* of Majlisī.

²² *Nahj al-Balāghah*, edited by Subhi al-Ṣālih, Short Sayings, no. 117

A small group of Sufis was indeed found among the Shī'a who put forth exaggerated beliefs in the name of *wilāyah* and love of 'Alī (peace be upon him), and in every case with the efforts of the mindful 'Ulamā appropriate answers were given them. As a result, they were not able to offer much resistance.

The Shī'a consider none a partner to Allāh in the His qualities of Majesty and Beauty. They believe the Prophet and Imāms (peace be upon them) to be creatures and worshippers of Allāh who are in need of Allāh from all aspects and regard only Allāh as free of need by His essence.

Of course, the qualities, distinctions, elevated status, and ranks of perfection that the Shī'a mention for these personalities in accordance with reliable verses and traditions – for example they consider them the authority (*ḥujjat*), Imāms, rulers (*walī al-amr*), and possessed of miracles – in no way have even the scent of exaggeration or polytheism. All of them represent the their perfection, apex of servitude, and degree of submission to the commandments of Allāh.

In short, the principle of *Imāmah* is one of the original principles of Islām that is understood from the verses of Qur'ān and abundant traditions narrated from the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself; passage of time, conquests, and defeats played no role in its spread and development.

In addition, belief in this principle does not necessitate any form of exaggerated beliefs. All of the qualities that the Imām, in accordance with the traditions, possesses are not incompatible with the Imām being a servant of Allāh and, like the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), being in need of Allāh.

وَلَا يَمْلِكُ لِنَفْسِهِ نَفْعًا وَلَا ضَرًّا.

“And he controls neither his own benefit nor his loss.”²³

In fact, the Imām is not even a prophet, meaning that a code of law and rules is not revealed to him, though he is *muḥaddath* (addressed), meaning that angels speak with him. However, his relation to the angels is not like the relation of the prophet to the angel of revelation, who communicates the Divine commands to the prophet, since the principles of all the commands have previously been explained, and messengership and prophecy have been sealed with the demise of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

In recognizing the Imām, it is important that one recognize the Imāms who were introduced and appointed to the *Imāmah* by Allāh through the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and regard them, like the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), as having general rule and absolute authority (*wilāyat*) over all religious and worldly affairs, and as possessing, with the exception of the prophecy, all of the Prophet’s qualities, like knowledge and infallibility. In short one must recognize the Imāms (peace be upon them) as the true successors of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) in both religious and mundane matters.

From the point of view of materialists and those who don’t believe in the unseen world, belief in the unseen world, Divine religions, and the qualities which the faithful attribute to the prophets and friends of Allāh are all mingled with exaggeration. Since the faithful believe in qualities, actions, and traits with respect to them that the materialist is unable to comprehend, he considers

²³ *Biḥār al-Anwār*, vol. 76, p. 167, footnote 7

them to be the exaggerations of the believers with respect to the prophets and friends of Allāh.

For example, from the materialists' point of view, the miracles of Ibrāhīm, Mūsā, and `Isā (peace be upon them), in which the faithful believe, are all a form of exaggeration, though no exaggeration exists in these beliefs. All of these form a chain of realities that show the elevated status of their possessors. Exaggeration is to associate the Prophet or Imām with Allāh, or regard Allāh as unified with them, and so forth.



The Relation of the Shī'a with the Mu'tazilah

QUESTION:

For what reason have the principles of religion been divided into five principles? Has the link of the Shī'a with the Mu'tazilah played any role in that?

ANSWER:

The Shī'a have conducted discussions and debates about Islāmic issues with all sects, as mentioned in books of *kalām* (theology) and polemics. However, they have not influenced it with regard to any issues of creed. As we have mentioned numerous times, the Shī'a school of thought is an original Islāmic school of thought, though the remaining sects appeared afterwards.

The beliefs of the Shī'a are not limited to these five principles, but rather comprise many other issues as well. Of course, in one exposition, the Islāmic beliefs can be summarized into *tawḥīd* (Divine unity), *nubūwwah* (prophecy), and *ma'ād* (resurrection), or in *tawḥīd* and *nubūwwah*, since the remaining beliefs, such as

Imāmah (vicegerency) and resurrection are a part of the issues which the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) preached and informed about. And according to the narrations, faith in the prophecy consists of faith in all that the Prophet conveyed.

الإِيمَانُ بِالنُّبُوَّةِ إِيْمَانٌ بِكُلِّ مَا أَنْبَأَ عَنْهُ النَّبِيُّ.

Faith in the prophecy consists of faith in all that the Prophet conveyed.

On this basis, these five principles – Divine unity, Divine justice, prophecy, *Imāmah*, and the resurrection – are among the principles in which all Muslims must believe. Reason and revelation also affirm them. Summarizing the beliefs in these five principles is because the Shī‘a regard the issue of Divine justice and the *Imāmah* as important as the remaining principles of belief, but Ahl al-Sunnat – the Ash‘ari sect – do not believe in them.

The Shī‘a have taken the Islāmic beliefs directly from the Holy Qur’ān and the traditions of the Prophet and Imāms (peace be upon them) and have not been influenced by the Mu‘tazilah with respect to any of their beliefs, since the Mu‘tazilah sect came into being afterwards.

If we see that the Mu‘tazilah share the Shī‘a view in some issues, what is proper is that we say that they have taken these views from the Shī‘a Imāms either directly or indirectly. The well-known proverb, “Belief in coercion and anthropomorphism is Umayyad and belief in Justice and unity is an Alawi doctrine,”

الْجَبْرُ وَالتَّشْبِيهُ أُمَوِيَّانِ وَالْعَدْلُ وَالتَّوْحِيدُ عَلَوِيَّانِ

confirms this claim.

In spite of this, some writers who are ignorant of the Shī'a school of thought and have researched the Mu'tazili and Ash'arī sects have assumed the Shī'a scholars, among them Sayyid Murtaḍā, were Mu'tazili since they found them opposed to some Ash'ari beliefs.

Imāmah



The Secret of Choosing the Imāms

QUESTION:

What was the secret of appointing the Imāms (peace be upon them) to the position of *Imāmah* and *wilāyah*? Is human intellect able to understand this?

ANSWER:

This question is not exclusive to the appointment of the Imāms (peace be upon them), but can be brought up regarding the selection of all the prophets and even angels such as Jibrā'il, the Trustee of revelation, and regarding the superiority of some prophets over others and some nations and individuals over others, and in the same way about the superiority of humanity over many other types of creation.

The reality is that selection is among the acts of Allāh, as numerous verses indicate:

إِنَّ اللَّهَ اصْطَفَىٰ آدَمَ وَنُوحًا وَآلَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ وَآلَ عِمْرَانَ عَلَى الْعَالَمِينَ.

“Verily Allāh chose Ādam, Nūh, the family of Ibrāhīm, and the family of ‘Imran over all people.”²⁴

قُلِ الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ وَسَلَامٌ عَلَى عِبَادِهِ الَّذِينَ اصْطَفَى.

“Say: Praise be to Allāh and peace be with those of His servants whom He has chosen.”²⁵

يَا مَرْيَمُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ اصْطَفَاكِ وَطَهَّرَكِ وَاصْطَفَاكِ عَلَى نِسَاءِ الْعَالَمِينَ.

“O’ Maryam! Verily Allāh has chosen you, purified you, and chosen you over all the ladies of the world.”²⁶

إِنَّ اللَّهَ اصْطَفَاهُ عَلَيْكُمْ.

“Surely Allāh has chosen him over you.”²⁷

إِنِّي اصْطَفَيْتُكَ عَلَى النَّاسِ بِرِسَالَاتِي.

“(O’ Mūsā) verily I have chosen you over mankind with my communications.”²⁸

ثُمَّ أَوْرَثْنَا الْكِتَابَ الَّذِينَ اصْطَفَيْنَا مِنْ عِبَادِنَا.

“Then We gave the book in inheritance to those of Our servants whom We had chosen.”²⁹

²⁴ Sūrah al-Imrān (3), verse 33

²⁵ Sūrah al-Naml (27), verse 59

²⁶ Sūrah Āle-Imrān (3), verse 4

²⁷ Sūrah al-Baqarah (2), verse 247

²⁸ Sūrah al-A`rāf (7), verse 144

²⁹ Sūrah al-Fāṭir (35), verse 32

Even Maṣṣūr, the ‘Abbāsīd caliph, would say that Imām Ja‘far al-as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) was among those denoted by the verse:

الَّذِينَ اصْطَفَيْنَا مِنْ عِبَادِنَا

“those of Our servants whom We have chosen.”³⁰

وَلَقَدْ اصْطَفَيْنَاهُ فِي الدُّنْيَا.

“And verily We chose him in this world.”³¹

اللَّهُ يَصْطَفِي مِنَ الْمَلَائِكَةِ رُسُلًا وَمِنَ النَّاسِ.

“Allāh chooses messengers from among the angels and mankind.”³²

وَإِنَّهُمْ عِنْدَنَا لَمِنْ الْأَخْيَارِ.

“And verily with Us they are from among the chosen and righteous ones.”³³

وَمِمَّنْ نْ هَدَيْنَا وَاجْتَبَيْنَا.

“And from among those whom We guided and chose.”³⁴

وَاجْتَبَيْنَاهُمْ وَهَدَيْنَاهُمْ.

“And We chose them and guided them.”³⁵

³⁰ Sūrah al-Fāṭir (35), verse 32

³¹ Sūrah al-Baqara (2), verse 130

³² Sūrah al-Ḥajj (22), verse 75

³³ Sūrah Ṣād (38), verse 47

³⁴ Sūrah Maryam (19), verse 58

³⁵ Sūrah al-An‘ām (6), verse 87

وَلَكِنَّ اللَّهَ يُجْزِي مَنْ رُسُلِهِ مَن يَشَاءُ.

“But Allāh chooses whom He wishes among His messengers” (and conveys to them those hidden realities which are necessary for their leadership position).³⁶

اللَّهُ يُجْزِي إِلَيْهِ مَن يَشَاءُ.

“Allāh chooses whomsoever He wishes.”³⁷

وَكَذَلِكَ يَجْتَبِيكَ رَبُّكَ.

“And thus does thy Lord choose thee.”³⁸

... and many more verses, all of which indicate that selection is one of the acts of Allāh in His wisdom, or rather is one of the Divine manners of conduct.

There is a treatise by Zaid al-Shahid, the son of Imām Zain al-‘Ābidīn (peace be upon him), called *al-Safwah* in which he has studied the issue of the selection of the Ahl al-Baīt.

The issue of granting rank exists in the natural world as well, and its necessity is entirely understandable by reason. Just as all species cannot belong to the human species, and all organs cannot be the eyes or head or brain, and all fruits and plants cannot be berries and squash, and the entire tree cannot be the branch, leaves, or roots, in the same way, all individuals cannot be perfect in their manners, qualities, and features. That is, all cannot be Muḥammad (peace be upon him and his family), ‘Alī (peace be upon him), Ibrāhīm al-Khalīl (peace be upon him), or Mūsā al-Kalīm (peace be upon him).

³⁶ Sūrah Āle Imrān (3), verse 179

³⁷ Sūrah ash-Shura (42), verse 13

³⁸ Sūrah Yūsuf (12), verse 6

The issue of being an Imām or a follower (*ma'mūm*) as well is such that it is either through Allāh's decree and natural causes or through direct specification and selection by Allāh. In any case, all of these are signs that are laden with secrets and wisdom which only Allāh Himself knows.

In these affairs none has the right to say, "Why was I not made into someone or something else?" or, for example, "How come all the angels were not made Jibrā'il al-Amīn (the Trustworthy) and all people were not made Muḥammad al-Muṣṭafā (the Chosen)?" or "Why weren't all of the world's mountains and rocks made of gold?" If such a thing were to happen, the perfection of the world would become subject to defect and the order and coordination existing among its various parts would cease to exist.

This is though the Noble Qur'ān itself speaks thus about the order and minute coordination existing among the parts of the universe:

وَالشَّمْسُ تَجْرِي لِمُسْتَقَرٍّ هَآذَا ذَٰلِكَ تَقْدِيرُ الْعَزِيزِ الْعَلِيمِ ۝ وَالْقَمَرَ
قَدَرْنَاهُ مَنَازِلَ حَتَّىٰ عَادَ كَالْعُرْجُونِ الْقَدِيمِ ۝ لَا الشَّمْسُ يَنْبَغِي لَهَا أَنْ
تُدْرِكَ الْقَمَرَ وَلَا اللَّيْلُ سَابِقُ النَّهَارِ وَكُلٌّ فِي فَلَكٍ يَسْبَحُونَ.

*"And the sun travels in its appointed path; that is the decree of the Mighty, the Knowing. And We have appointed phases for the moon until it becomes like an old, yellow, date branch. Neither is it appropriate for the sun to overtake the moon, nor does the night overtake the day; and all float in their orbit."*³⁹

"Everything in the world is in its place—

If you look well, nothing is more or less

³⁹ Sūrah Yāsin (36), verses 38-40

All Divine decrees are just and wise—

Our intellects can't fathom the secrets of creation”

While the human world is a world of choice and selection, the secrets of these matters – i.e. Divine decrees and selection are so complex that it can be said that even if humanity were to conduct research and studies for millions of years to come, they would still be faced with many unknown secrets.

In summary, the world of creation is a world of causes and effects, and at the same time the human world is a world of choice and duty.

The wise Divine decrees and ordinances govern all affairs. Understanding the reasons for selection of an Imām or prophet and its creational and discretionary aspects, and other such issues, requires an intimate knowledge of all the secrets of Divine actions and the universe. Only those who have come under Allāh's special guardianship understand the fine points of these matters, and all of the prophets and Imāms (peace be upon them) were as such.

All human individuals have been encouraged by various means to strive to gain mastery over the sun and moon, and so forth, and since Allāh has made them subservient to humanity, they can try to comprehend the secrets of things and make use of their benefits; but if they are unable to understand something's cause or causes, they must regard it as a Divine decree and make use of its existential blessings.

Thus, it is our duty to make use of the teachings and guidance of the prophets and Imāms (peace be upon them) and regard the blessing of their existence and guidance among the greatest of Divine blessings and make them our role-models. And if we are unable to comprehend the philosophy of the selection of these true Divine proofs with our imperfect intellects, we must not turn to

rejecting or opposing them. Otherwise, we will be regarded as among those people who in the era of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself denied – either out of ignorance or with ulterior motives – that the selection of the progeny was Divine. The Noble Qur’ān, in the course of a verse, mentions them as follows:

أَمْ يَحْسَدُونَ النَّاسَ عَلَىٰ مَا آتَاهُمُ اللَّهُ مِنْ فَضْلِهِ فَقَدْ آتَيْنَا آلَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ
الْكِتَابَ وَالْحِكْمَةَ وَآتَيْنَاهُمْ مُلْكًا عَظِيمًا.

“Or are they envious of the people (the Prophet and his progeny) on account of what Allāh has granted them out of His abundance? Verily, we granted the family of Ibrāhīm the Book and wisdom and granted them a great kingdom.”⁴⁰

According to the Qur’ānic commentaries, this verse was revealed about them. It is incumbent upon us to understand, through reflection and contemplation of the circumstances of the universe, that everything is found in its particular place and the Divine knowledge and wisdom is evident in all of the great and small creations of the Lord of the Universe. Therefore, precisely as we read in one of the verses of the Noble Qur’ān,

اللَّهُ أَعْلَمُ حَيْثُ يَجْعَلُ رِسَالَتَهُ.


“Allāh best knows where to place His messengership.”⁴¹

Thus, selection of the prophets and Divine legatees is among the affairs that, like all the other actions of the Lord of the Universe, are possessed of their own particular wisdom, though humanity with its

⁴⁰ Sūrah an-Nisā (4), verse 54

⁴¹ Sūrah al-An`ām (6), verse 124

imperfect knowledge and intellect may be unable to fathom its secrets and complexities.



Allāh's Knowledge and the Infallibles' (peace be upon them) Knowledge of the Unseen

QUESTION:

Shed some light on the knowledge of the unseen of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and the pure Imāms (peace be upon them). And in this regard, considering the principle that Allāh has no partner in His knowledge and other attributes of perfection and beauty, explain the difference between Allāh's and the Imām's knowledge and between the Imām's and the Prophet's knowledge.

ANSWER:

Anyone who studies the books of history, traditions, and biographies will not doubt the fact that the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and the pure Imāms (peace be upon them) made known many unseen matters, most of which took place in the world within a short period.

These reports, especially what has come down from the person of the noble Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and Amīr

al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), are great in number, and each one of them is considered a part of the major miracles of this house.

In fact, as affirmed by individuals like Ibn Khaldūn, in many instances Imām Ja'far al-as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him) would give news about unseen matters. Of course, the difference between Allāh's knowledge of the unseen and that of these personages is that Allāh's knowledge is by essence, while the knowledge of the Prophet or Imām is outside of their essence, that is, it has been granted them by Allāh.

Allāh is unique, peerless, and independent of others in all His attributes of perfection, but the Prophet and Imām are in need of Allāh with respect to their knowledge and all other attributes of perfection which they possess; and in a word, everything they have, whether from the aspect of existence or attributes, is from Allāh. They are existent through Him and knowledgeable and possessed of power through Him.


However, the difference between the Prophet and Imām with regard to awareness of unseen matters is from the aspect that in the Prophet's knowledge, no human being is an intermediary between him and the unseen world, while the Imāms (peace be upon him) have acquired a portion of this knowledge through the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

In any case, what is certain is the knowledge of those personages and their giving news about unseen matters, which is as clear and sure as the sun shining in the center of the sky.

In this regard, if one wants to find out in more depth about the reports of the unseen, he should refer to books of the lives and history of the Imāms (peace be upon them).

According to the level of my own understanding, I have offered explanations, though concise, in the books *The Radiance of Wilayat*,

Commentary on Duā' al-Nudbah, and Creational and Legal Wilayat.



The Countenance
of the Imāms' (peace be upon them) Conduct

QUESTION:

Why were the conduct, manner, and methods of the Imāms (peace be upon them) not the same in regard to performance of their duty?

ANSWER:

Contrary to what is said, the conduct of the Imāms (peace be upon them) in confronting various events that were similar to an extent was not very divergent, since all of their conduct was within the scope of the principles and agenda of Shīaism, which are the original principles of Islām itself. All of their actions and programs demonstrated the truth of Islām and its redemptive teachings. And if we see that the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon them) each acted in one way in one important phase of their noble lives and in another way in another phase, this is in entirety a result of the commandments of Islām and the Qur'ān.

This is because Islām has both the command;

إِلَّا أَنْ تَتَّقُوا مِنْهُمْ تُقَاةً.

“... except that you protect themselves from them (and practice dissimulation for the sake of more important goals).”⁴²

and the command

إِلَّا مَنْ أُكْرِهَ وَقَلْبُهُ مُطْمَئِنٌّ بِالْإِيمَانِ.

“... except one who is compelled while his heart is tranquil with faith.”⁴³

And it also has the command

جَاهِدِ الْكُفَّارَ وَالْمُنَافِقِينَ وَأَغْلَظْ عَلَيْهِمْ.

“Struggle against the disbelievers and hypocrites and be harsh towards them.”⁴⁴

as well as

خُذِ الْعَفْوَ وَأْمُرْ بِالْعُرْفِ وَأَعْرِضْ عَنِ الْجَاهِلِينَ.

“Act with compassion and accept their excuse, and command towards goodness, and turn away from the ignorant (and fight them not).”⁴⁵

وَلَا تَسْتَوِي الْحَسَنَةُ وَلَا السَّيِّئَةُ ادْفَعْ بِالَّتِي هِيَ أَحْسَنُ.

“Nor are good and evil alike; repel evil with what is most good.”⁴⁶

⁴² Sūrah Āle Imrān (3), verse 28

⁴³ Sūrah al-Nahl (16), verse 106

⁴⁴ Sūrah al-Tahrīm (66), verse 9

⁴⁵ Sūrah al-A`rāf (7), verse 199

Likewise, the Qur'ān says

فَمَنْ أَعْتَدَىٰ عَلَيْكُمْ فَأَعْتَدُوا عَلَيْهِ يَمِثِلْ مَا أَعْتَدَىٰ عَلَيْكُمْ.

“So whoever transgresses with respect to you, transgress with respect to him in a like manner.”⁴⁷

And it also says with regard to executing the punishment for adulterers:

وَلَا تَأْخُذْكُمْ بِهِمَا رَأْفَةٌ فِي دِينِ اللَّهِ.


“And let not compassion for them overtake you in executing Allāh’s command.”⁴⁸

Overall, the conditions and situation of the Imām’s (peace be upon them) era demanded the very same form of conduct for the protection of the Islāmic principles and the essence of Shīaism that they in practice adopted, and of course the Shī‘a must follow the path of true Islām, which the Imām recognizes better than all else and doesn’t deviate from even an inch.

⁴⁶ Sūrah al-Fussilāt (41), verse 34

⁴⁷ Sūrah al-Baqarah (2), verse 194

⁴⁸ Sūrah al-Nūr (24), verse 2



The Limits of the Imām's Leadership

QUESTION:

Just as the range of the Imām's leadership includes guidance of the people, elucidation of religious rulings, exegesis of the Qur'ān, and answering objections, it also includes political issues, protecting the order of society, implementing Islāmic rules, establishing justice, ensuring security, and protecting the borders of Islām.

Please explain the relationship of these two parts with the principle of *Imāmah* and explain to what extent Shī'a have accepted this issue.

ANSWER:

As has been indicated, their range of leadership includes both parts, and in reality these two parts are inseparable. However, the issue that was the focus of attention and covetousness of usurping politicians and oppressors was political leadership and taking control of the leadership of society.

Thus, their opposition to the Imāms (peace be upon them) was focused on this aspect, and if they opposed them from the aspect of

guidance in religious affairs – that is, if they entered the field by making institutions of learning, libraries, and schools – this was so that the people would feel less of a need for the guidance of the Imāms (peace be upon them), and as a result, distance themselves from them, in order that they do not come under the effect of the spiritual and religious training of the Imāms (peace be upon them).

Again, it was for this very reason that they feared the Imāms' becoming well-known in intellectual and social circles, since they used to observe that the becoming known of their intellectual ability and enlightening guidance occasioned the progress and mastery of Shi'a thought and the people's increased inclination towards the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them).

The fact that in books of *kalām*, *Imāmah* has been defined, in the words of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), as "authority over all religious and worldly affairs of humanity" shows that in this definition their attention was primarily to the Imām's authority over social affairs and his vicegerency of the Prophet in governing. This is because the dimension of the leadership and authority of the Imāms in religious and spiritual matters and the intellectual eminence of the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) were undeniable. Since enlightenment and guidance of people in religious and spiritual affairs was not linked to political issues, it was not opposed by the power-seekers

And even if they desired to oppose them in this aspect, the people would not have accepted it, since the people were aware of their intellectual ability.

From the meaning of the word "*wilāyah*" (authority), primarily the leadership and administration of social affairs, governing, and maintaining order are understood.

Verses of the Qur'ān and many traditions, such as the *mutawātir* tradition of Ghadir also support this understanding. The following two verses are an example:

إِنَّمَا وَلِيُّكُمُ اللَّهُ وَرَسُولُهُ وَالَّذِينَ آمَنُوا الَّذِينَ يُقِيمُونَ الصَّلَاةَ وَيُؤْتُونَ
الزَّكَاةَ وَهُمْ رَاكِعُونَ.

*“Your wali is none but Allāh and His messenger and the believers, those who establish the prayers and pay the poor-rate while they kneel (in their prayers).”*⁴⁹

أَطِيعُوا اللَّهَ وَأَطِيعُوا الرَّسُولَ وَأُولِيَ الْأَمْرِ مِنْكُمْ

*“Obey Allāh and obey the Messenger and those in authority among you (the Prophet’s legatees).”*⁵⁰

The word *Imāmah* also indicates the aspect of spiritual *Imāmah* and intellectual and religious leadership to the same degree, as the following verse expresses:

وَجَعَلْنَاهُمْ أَئِمَّةً يَهْدُونَ بِأَمْرِنَا وَأَوْحَيْنَا إِلَيْهِمْ فِعْلَ الْخَيْرَاتِ.

*“And we made them leaders who guide at our command, and we revealed to them the performance of virtuous deeds.”*⁵¹

In addition to these, many other verses and traditions such as the tradition of *thaqalayn*, *ṣaḥīnah*, *aman*, and other traditions indicate this point. Thus, it has come in the tradition of *thaqalayn*:

“Do not precede the Ahl al-Baīt, and do not go before them.”

⁴⁹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah (5), verse 55

⁵⁰ Sūrah an-Nisā (4), verse 59

⁵¹ Sūrah al-Anbiyā (21), verse 73

In other words, be their followers. If greater attention is paid to the content of the mentioned verses and traditions, it will become known that they indicate both dimensions of leadership; thus the Shī'a have always considered the Imām the possessor of both positions, political leadership, and spiritual leadership, and have considered others to be usurpers.

The usurping rulers also knew this reality – that according the Shī'a, the Imām's leadership is absolute – and thus, occasionally though they were confident that the Imām of the time did not intend to rise, they would act cautiously. As an example, Manṣūr had this belief with regard to Imām as-Ṣādiq (peace be upon him). Still, he wouldn't abandon precaution, always kept the Imām under the surveillance of his secret officers, and would create difficulties for him in various ways. In the end he was still unable to bear the existence of the Imām, since he viewed the method the Imām had adopted as dangerous for his government; for this very reason he martyred him.


Harun adopted the same method. He held Imām Mūsā al-Kāḍim (peace be upon him) in prisons and under surveillance for many years, since he knew the Shī'a consider both positions of spiritual and worldly leadership as belonging to the Imām.

As opposed to this, the role and conduct of the Imāms (peace be upon them), which was a cause of the protection of Shī'a thought and Islāmic laws, was very important. It is possible to regard it as their miracle, and such action was not possible except through special Divine instruction.

Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) and Imām Ḥasan (peace be upon him) adopted their particular policies, while Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) undertook his great uprising. In the same way, the remaining Imāms (peace be upon them) each acted in a particular

fashion. If they were not to do so, no path or method opposed to those tyrannous policies would be able to survive under such annihilating strikes; yet we see that the school of Shiaism survived and even until today is known in the world as the symbol of true Islām and the herald of a just world government.

One point worth noting is that all of the Imāms (peace be upon him) would promise that spiritual, intellectual, and practical leadership would in the future – in the age of the reappearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return)(peace be upon him) – be united with political leadership, which hitherto was usurped by the oppressive rulers, and under the shadow of that leadership, all of Islām’s goals will be achieved.



The Number of the Shī'a Imāms

QUESTION:

As we know, the true Twelver Imāmi sect is called “twelver” (*ithnā `ashariyya*) since its followers believe that after the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), his successors were twelve people. And among all of his *‘ummaḥ* (community), they are the sole group who hold this belief; thus, the traditions about the twelve Imāms which both Shī'a and Sunnis have narrated – and whose origin from the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) is undeniable – can only be applied to the Shī'a sect among all Muslims. Naturally, the true sect will be limited to this group. In spite of all this, it is said that from some traditions – a few of which have been narrated in the book of Sulāim – it is possible to understand that the number of the Imāms is thirteen, and this view has also been attributed to Ibn Sahl al-Nawbakhtī. If, as it is said, a tradition with this content exists (or if Nawbakhti himself held this view, though this appears unlikely), how can it be explained and affirmed?

ANSWER:

We have given sufficient explanation about the tradition or traditions that indicate that the number of Imāms (peace be upon them) is thirteen in the treatise “*Clarity of Vision for One Who Follows the Twelve Imāms*.” There, we have clarified that the a tradition with this meaning does not exist; moreover, even if such a tradition were to exist, it is a tradition with a single narrator and with regard to principles of religion, beliefs, and issues in which attaining certainty is essential, traditions with single narrators are not relied upon.

In such an instance, only a firm rational argument or a tradition that is consecutively narrated (*mutawātir*) and certain to have originated from an infallible can be relied upon.

Furthermore, traditions that are *mutawātir* and have even passed the limits of *tawatur* (consecutive narration) indicate that the number of the Imāms is twelve. In such a situation, if a single non-certain tradition is found in opposition to all these traditions, what creditability can it have, and how can a researcher rely on it? In addition, in the *Musnad* of Aḥmad alone, it has been narrated through thirty-odd chains of narrators from the Noble Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) that the number of Imāms (peace be upon them) is twelve, and in the *Ṣaḥiḥ* of Muslim this point has been narrated through eight chains, and likewise in the remaining collections, *Ṣaḥiḥs*, *Sunans* (books of the sunnah), and books of the Ahl al-Sunnat, this topic has been referred to numerous times.

In Shī‘a books as well traditions have been narrated with hundreds of chains that the Imāms will be twelve, all of which were narrated by well-known companions and followers (*ṭabi‘in*) up to two centuries before the birth of the twelfth Imām Mahdī (may

Allāh hasten his return) (peace be upon him), and in reality this is counted as a type of prediction and information about the future.

In spite of all this, it was said that a tradition has been narrated from Sulaīm that the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) said to Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him): *“You and twelve people from your progeny are the true Imāms.”*

The reality is that in the present text and the reliable texts that before our time were in the possession of the scholars, this tradition did not exist. Moreover, there are many traditions in the book of Sulaīm ibn Qays itself that explicitly mention the Imāms and their names as twelve people, and have specified the names of those twelve personages from Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) to Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return)(peace be upon him) in the same order in which the Shī'a believe.

This book, written in the first century after the Hijra, is reliable and the sum of its material clearly establishes the truth of the Imāmi school, since it contains news about Imāms who were not even born in that time. Firm signs and evidence indicates the correctness of this book.


Now, if we suppose the book contains such a tradition, that tradition can be interpreted in light of other books of traditions. That is, the intent of the tradition is to indicate the number of the Imāms and that they are from the progeny of 'Alī (peace be upon him), and since the majority – eleven out of twelve – of the Imāms are from his progeny, it was explained in these words – which, it is likely, have not even been narrated in entirety.

Ibn Nadīm attributed this view opposing the traditions regarding twelve Imāms to Abū Sahl Nawbakhtī, which appears not to be correct, since Abū Sahl Nawbakhtī is not a person to express such an opinion, which has no evidence worthy of consideration. In

Shī‘a books of biographies and *rijāl* (biographies of the narrators of ḥadīth), in which the Nawbakhtī family has been mentioned in detail, such a view has not been related regarding Abū Sahl in the section pertaining to him or to others, all have praised his school of thought, belief, and action. It appears these are the same types of mistakes that occur in the books of biographies and sects, and the writers of which have passed over them out of carelessness.

Attributing such baseless beliefs to well-known individuals has no result other than to mislead uninformed or ill-informed people.

In any case, the issue of *Mahdawiyyah* (messianism) and concealment and the remaining issues exclusive to the twelfth Imām (peace be upon him) have been in discussion since the beginning of Islām. Rather, in accordance to what is in the extant Torah and Bible, the precedent of this belief has roots in Divine religions previous to Islām and in the Old and New Testaments.



Principality of Reason or
Narration in Specifying the Imām
(peace be upon him)

QUESTION:

In the issue of *Imāmah*, between reason and narration, which is given precedence? In other words, are the issues pertaining to the topic of *Imāmah* primarily provable through intellectual proofs or narrated proofs?

ANSWER:

As can be understood from the question itself, issues are of two types. One type are the issues that are ascertained through reason and are accepted through application of logical procedures and intellectual proofs, such as proving the existence of Allāh, His essential attributes of perfection, and the necessity of prophecy, i.e. the proof of prophecy in general. The other type are those issues that are proved solely through narration, meaning that there is no way of proving them except revelation and relation by a truthful relater, that is, a prophet or Imām whose prophecy or *Imāmah* is

already established. Among these issues, there are also some issues that can be established in both ways. Of course, in this case the narrated proofs are a form of guidance towards the intellectual proofs.

The researcher must be well attentive to this aspect of issues and must see which discussion can be established through reason, which through narration alone, and which through both methods. And in each case, he should commence according to the method peculiar to that issue so that he can reach an appropriate conclusion. Otherwise, if he wishes to enter into an exclusively narrational issue through reason, it is natural that he will not reach a valid conclusion.

It is possible that some may raise a question regarding whether the principality of reason takes precedence in the issue of *Imāmah* over the principality of narration or not.

The answer is that in the issue of *Imāmah*, like the issue of prophecy and the conditions of a prophet, proving the principle of general *Imāmah*, i.e. proving the principle of a need for the existence of the Imām and the conditions of the Imām, is accomplished through reasoning. Of course, if the issue of *Imāmah* were among the issues that are outside the limits of understanding of human reason and reasoning did not understand it independently, it could be proved by narrational evidence alone, i.e. the guidance of the Prophet. This is because reliance on narrational evidence with regard to the principle of *Imāmah*, as opposed to the principle of prophecy, does not involve circular reasoning, though in the issue of prophecy it involves circular reasoning.

Thus, the narrational proofs of *Imāmah* can also be regarded, similar to the narrational proofs of the principle of prophecy, as guidance to the decree of reason.

Issues such as the necessity of infallibility, how the Imām is appointed, and the fact that this affair has not been delegated to the people are principles pertaining to reason. And existing narrational proofs affirm this decree of reason.

It is obvious that with groups who, like the Asha'ira, do not believe in rational good and evil, discussion can only be held through those narrational proofs. Some of the effects and benefits of the existence of the Imām and his distinctions can only be proved through narrational proofs, just as these effects and unique traits are proved for the Prophet through narrational proofs.

In the issue of the specific *Imāmah* (the *Imāmah* of a particular person) as well, like the specific prophecy in which explicit declaration by the previous prophet is a proof of the prophecy of the following prophet, the prophet's declaration of the Imām's *Imāmah* and likewise the declaration by the previous Imām of the *Imāmah* of the following Imām is a proof of his *Imāmah*. There is this difference that the principle way of establishing prophecy is a miracle, since the only true way to establish the prophecy of the first prophet is through a miracle and this is the only reliable evidence of the claim of prophecy, though the prophets after his prophecy can be established both by declaration of the previous prophets and by miracle, and the Divine way has also been to send prophets along with miracles, since the establishment of prophecy through a miracle is comprehensible by all.

However, the method of declaration by the previous prophet is only an authoritative proof for believers in that previous prophet. It is for this reason that we say that the method of establishment by miracle is a general way, which is an authoritative proof for all. Still, establishing the occurrence of a miracle at the hands of a prophet is limited to narrational methods for one who is absent from

the time and place of occurrence of the miracle. Certainly, the Glorious Qur'ān is the only miracle whose establishment has no need of narrational proofs, since it is existent, just as the explicit declaration of the Qur'ān that it is impossible to bring its like indicates that it is a miracle.

In the issue of *Imāmah*, the *Imāmah* of the first Imām is established solely by the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) declaration, and since it has been proven in the discussion of general *Imāmah* that the *Imāmah* of the Imām is through specification by the Prophet by command of Allāh, the miracle that is manifested by the Imām, just as it is an independent proof of the truth of claim of *Imāmah*, is also a proof of the declaration of the prophet, if such a declaration by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) is not in our hands. Reliance on rational proofs in the issue of specific *Imāmah* is in order to establish the existence of a specific declaration.

For example: it can be said that it is obligatory for the prophet, in that Allāh, in his absolute wisdom, has commanded the prophet to explicitly declare the *Imāmah*, to specify and introduce the Imām after himself, even if that declaration is no longer accessible by us or has become ambiguous or its purport has become subject to doubt. Since the claim of a declaration has not been made except with regard to a specific personality – Imām 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (peace be upon him) – rationally, the person specified by Allāh and the Prophet is not other than 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (peace be upon him).


Or it can be said that since the condition of the Imām – who is appointed by Allāh and the prophet to lead humankind in religious and worldly affairs – is infallibility, and the claim of infallibility has not been made for anyone except 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (peace be upon

him), rationally ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭāib (peace be upon him) is the appointed and infallible Imām.

Similarly, with regard to the twelfth Imām it is said that according to narrational proofs, the world is never without an Imām and Proof (*ḥujjat*) of Allāh – apparent or hidden – and in this long period of over a thousand years, the chain of *Imāmah* is not to be severed. And since the claim of *Imāmah* has not been forwarded for anyone other than that personage, or if it has been made its invalidity has been proved, aside from him, who is in concealment, no one else is the Imām and he is the Imām. And if he is not the Imām, other objections discussed previously in the issue of the general *Imāmah* will once again arise.

This is though there is no justification for raising them, since the result of such discussions leads to matters such as the performance of evil by Allāh, the Wise, and so forth, from the like of which Allāh’s essence is pure and free.

Messianism (*Mahdawīyyah*)



Original Source of Belief in *Imāmah* and Faith
in The Appearance of the Twelfth Imām (peace
be upon him)

QUESTION:

From which Islāmic sources has the position and esteem (*i`tibār*) of the *Imāmah* and leadership and faith in the reappearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) been understood? And what effect have events and the passage of time had on the completion of this principle?

Answer:

According to a verse of Qur'ān, *Imāmah* is a station that was granted to Ibrāhīm al-Khalīl (peace be upon him) after that great test—trial by *kalimat* (words)⁵². According to traditions that are consecutively narrated (*mutawātir*) and which both Shī'a and Sunnis have related, this station was also placed in the Ahl al-Bāit

⁵² Sūrah al-Baqara (2), verse 124: “When his Lord tried Ibrāhīm by words, then he completed them.”

of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and they have been singled out for this great Divine grant.

On this basis it has been established that in every era an individual from this family who possesses the necessary capabilities, including knowledge and infallibility, will be responsible for the station of *Imāmah* and leadership.

Such an individual is the proof (*hujjat*) of Allāh, equal of the Qur’ān, guide of the people, and protector of the religion and Divine law. *Imāmah* is a principle that has been established from the time of the Prophet of Allāh (peace be upon him and his family) until our time and will continue from our time until the end of the world. The point of its apparent perfection and complete flowering will be during the age of the gladdening reappearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) and establishment of his united just world government, during which the world will be filled with equity, justice, goodness, and blessings through the blossoming of all human potentials and evolution of thoughts and becoming apparent of terrestrial and celestial blessings.

The agenda of forming a new world community which must end in spreading justice and the sovereignty of *tawḥīd* (Divine unity) is understood from the core of Islāmic teachings. The Noble Qur’ān in several chapters has announced this and hundreds of prophetic traditions have related the world’s adoption of Islām and the government of justice and establishment of complete security in the land after the reappearance of the promised Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), who is from the descendents of ‘Alī and Fāṭimah (peace be upon her) and shares the name and agnomen (*kunya*) of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).


Belief in the appearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) with the mentioned qualities is something which has been

mentioned in Islām's primary texts. According to consecutively-narrated (*mutawātir*) traditions, the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) has given news of this blessed event and requested his 'ummat (community) to be in wait of that consequential day.

Though the issue of appearance is deduced from the general tidings pertaining to Islām's becoming supreme in the world and truth overcoming falsehood, this does not mean that the issue of appearance is solely a concept deduced from the purport of traditions, since the text and very words of narrations point to it independently, and most of the faithful rely on these very texts that explicitly point to the appearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) and its signs.

Once it is known that the foundation of the existence of this belief is the general tidings and texts of traditions, it can be said that historical conditions and events that occurred after the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had no role in its coming into existence.

This is because the origin of this idea is the era of prophecy and the traditions related to it exceed one thousand traditions, which are narrated in books traditions, commentary, and many other books. Prominent Sunni scholars have also written independent books about it, and books written more than twelve centuries ago by the greatest experts and researches of Islāmic sciences clearly indicate that the person of the Holy Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) gave tidings about the issue of the appearance of the Promised Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), and his companions, the followers (*tabi'in*) of the companions, and after them other generations of people have narrated them.



The Qur'ān and Messianism (*Mahdawiyyat*)

Question:

Which verse of the Noble Qur'ān can be cited regarding the authority (*wilāyat*) of the twelve Imāms (peace be upon them) and the just government of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) and the supremacy of Islām over the world?

Answer:

The verses from whose purport it is understood that the twelve Imāms—may Allāh elevate their word—have authority and *Imāmah* are many in number.

Among them is the verse:

إِنَّمَا أَنْتَ مُنْذِرٌ وَلِكُلِّ قَوْمٍ هَادٍ

“You are but a warner, and every people has a guide.”⁵³

and the verse:

لَا يَنَالُ عَهْدِي الظَّالِمِينَ

⁵³ Sūrah Ra'd (13), Verse 7

“My covenant reaches not the oppressors (and only that group of your descendants is worthy of this position who are pure and infallible).”⁵⁴

and the verse:

أَفَمَنْ يَهْدِي إِلَى الْحَقِّ أَحَقُّ أَنْ يُتَّبَعَ

“Thus is one who guides to the truth worthier of being followed?”⁵⁵

These verses indicate this point since from them one understands that society is not without an Imām, the infallibility of the holder of the station of *Imāmah*, and his being more knowledgeable than others, and this issue is among the exclusive convictional principles of the Shī‘a. And from the verse:

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا أَطِيعُوا اللَّهَ وَأَطِيعُوا الرَّسُولَ وَأُولِي الْأَمْرِ مِنْكُمْ

“O’ ye who have brought faith! Obey Allāh and obey the Messenger and those in authority among you (the trustees of the Prophet).”⁵⁶

This doctrine of the Shī‘a—that the Imām and ruler must be infallible—is understood. This is because in this verse obedience to those in authority has been commanded in an absolute manner. Obedience to the Prophet, which is in all affairs, has been expressed together with obedience to those in authority in one word—the imperative verb *أَطِيعُوا*, “obey!”

It is obvious that someone whom the believers have been ordered to obey absolutely in such a way must be infallible and far removed from errors and mistakes, and as it is undisputed that from among

⁵⁴ Sūrah Baqarah (2), Verse 124

⁵⁵ Sūrah Yūnis (10), Verse 35

⁵⁶ Sūrah Nisā (4), Verse 59

the Islāmic sects only the Shī'a believe in the infallibility of the Imām.

In addition to this, commentaries and reliable traditions also indicate that the intent of the noble verse "Obey Allāh..." and other verses is the twelve Imāms (peace be upon them), and in these commentaries, the blessed names of these personages are also mentioned explicitly.

As for the supremacy of Islām in the world and its overcoming all religions, it is sufficient to pay attention to verses 32 and 33 of Sūrah at-Tawba, verse 28 of Sūrah al-Faṭḥ, verses 6 and 8 of Sūrah al-Ṣaff, and numerous other verses. In them, the promise of appearance and true religion's overcoming all religions has been given, a promise that will come to pass with the appearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), and this is inviolable.

In regard to the appearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) in particular, many verses have been elucidated to refer to it, which exceed one hundred verses in all. The book *Al-Mahajja fi ma Nazala fi al-Qā'im al-Ḥujja (The Final Destination Regarding What Has Been Revealed About the Twelfth Imām)* has collected all of them.

Among those verses is this verse:

وَعَدَ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ لَيَسْتَخْلِفَنَّهُمْ فِي الْأَرْضِ
كَمَا أَسْتَخْلَفَ الَّذِينَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ وَلَيُمَكِّنَنَّ لَهُمْ دِينَهُمُ الَّذِي ارْتَضَى لَهُمْ
وَلَيُيَدِّلَنَّهُمْ مِنْ بَعْدِ خَوْفِهِمْ أَمْنًا يَعْبُدُونَنِي لَا يُشْرِكُونَ بِي شَيْئًا وَمَنْ
كَفَرَ بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ فَأُولَئِكَ هُمُ الْفَاسِقُونَ

"Allāh has promised those who have believed among you and do good deeds that He shall certainly make them rulers in the earth as

He made rulers those before them and shall make firm for them their religion which He has chosen for them and shall change their fear into security; they will worship Me and associate none with Me, and whoever disbelieves after that, it is they who are the iniquitous.”⁵⁷

and this verse:

وَنُرِيدُ أَنْ نَمُنَّ عَلَى الَّذِينَ اسْتُضِعُوا فِي الْأَرْضِ وَنَجْعَلَهُمْ أَئِمَّةً
وَنَجْعَلَهُمُ الْوَارِثِينَ

“And we desire bestow a favor on those deemed weak in the land and make them leaders and make them inheritors.”


and the verse:

وَلَقَدْ كَتَبْنَا فِي الزَّبُورِ مِنْ بَعْدِ الذِّكْرِ أَنَّ الْأَرْضَ يَرِثُهَا عِبَادِيَ
الصَّالِحُونَ

“And verily We have written in the Zabur (Psalms) after the Remembrance that my righteous servants will inherit the earth.”⁵⁸

⁵⁷ Sūrah Nūr (24), Verse 55

⁵⁸ Sūrah Qaṣaṣ (28), Verse 5



Belief in the Appearance of the Mahdī and Savior and the Appearance of False Mahdīs

Question:


Belief in the appearance of the Mahdī and savior has caused the appearance of false Mahdīs throughout history, and some make this circumstance a justification for setting aside this idea. To what extent can this viewpoint be accepted?

Answer:

This viewpoint is absolutely unacceptable, or else humanity must set aside all positive viewpoints. This is because all of them have more or less been subject to misuse. All of the individuals who claimed to be God or regarded themselves the manifestation of God, united with God, or regarded God to have taken abode in them have all misused the principle of belief in Allāh. This action in no way harms the issue of belief in Allāh.

Similarly, all of the false prophets who claimed prophecy and misled a people do not transmit any damage to the correctness of the principle of prophecy. This issue arises in more or less every field and industry, but that incident brings no harm to that field itself.

In short, if any name or word that has a good and attractive meaning has been used for its opposite, this does not damage these values and good affairs themselves, such as if a betrayer has been called trustworthy, an oppressor called just, an ignorant person called learned, a sinner called God-fearing, or if all treacheries and oppressions have been committed in the name of well-wishing and seeking reform.



The Effect of Social, Economic, and Political Factors in Religious Thought

Question:

What effect have social, economic, and political factors had on religious thoughts and creeds and on belief in the appearance of the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return)?

Answer:

From the viewpoint of the godless worldview, all affairs must be attributed to historical and material causes.

However, from the viewpoint of the religious worldview, the source of that which is genuine and true from among various ideas and creeds is revelation, the call of the prophets, and the inborn comprehension of humanity, which is referred to as the guidance of reason, natural disposition, revelation, and prophecy.

In the eyes of this viewpoint, all of the devious courses and harmful thoughts are the effect of material and historical causes, personal goals, and deficiency of culture of society and its upbringing. Religious creeds taken from revelation and prophecy are all genuine and actual and have a place in humanity's nature.

History, the passage of time, human knowledge, and material causes do not bring them into existence. Rather, the source of conviction about matters such as the principle of the messengership of the prophets and *Imāmah* of the Imāms (peace be upon them) and all true creeds is reason, human disposition, and revelation from Allāh.

On this basis, no social, economical, or political factor has had or has an effect even in the appearance of belief in the appearance of Mahdī the Savior (may Allāh hasten his return). The beginning and source of it is the narrations of the prophets, the celestial books, and the guidance, narrations, and words of the person of the Final Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), Imām Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), and the remaining Imāms (peace be upon them).

Though there have been false claims regarding being the Mahdī based on seeking rank and political aims, by analysis and recognition the original source of the appearance of these claims and the appearance of false claimants we reach the reality that an uncontested reality has been involved around which these claims and distortions have come about and been made tools by individuals.

In the same way, regarding belief in Allāh, revelation, and prophecy itself we see that some realities exist and there is a ground for its acceptance in people's hearts, and opportunistic individuals, abusing this fact, have throughout history made claims of Godhead or prophecy.

And the issue of the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) as well—since it was raised by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself and the companions have heard and related it from him—is a reality which has been accepted by all.

For this reason it has been abused and individuals have made it means for differing objectives, most of which have been political.

If the issue of the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) did not have reality, individuals would not have resorted to such distortion with regard to it. Thus, these abuses themselves confirm the fact that this issue has been accepted as a reality by all.

It is possible for events to guide humanity to realities, just as Ibrāhīm (peace be upon him), the great monotheist, taught recognition of Allāh to people by use of events.

When night set, that personage saw a star. In the beginning, he said, “This is my Lord.” But when the star set he said

لَا أُحِبُّ الْآفِلِينَ

“I love not those that set.”⁵⁹

By making use of the incident of the rising and setting of the star, Ibrāhīm (peace be upon him) taught people that the star cannot be God.

After that, the moon also rises and sets, and from this incident as well he concludes that the moon, too, cannot be God.

Then, the sun rises and sets and, in the same way, he reaches the same conclusion about it.

In this way he seeks aversion to all polytheistic beliefs and guides the people to the Creator of all the world’s inhabitants.

Thus, events can lead people to realities, but credal realities cannot be considered the effects of events.

Yes, it can be said that the passage of time caused a strengthening of people’s belief in the family of ‘Alī (peace be upon him) and deepening of Shī‘a thought in their hearts. But if someone

⁵⁹ Sūrah Anā’m (6), Verse 76

says that Shiaism and the occultation of the Imām (peace be upon him) were originated and completed by the passage of time, this is false, since many proofs, which have been pointed out in the previous discussions, belie this view.

No one can say that the traditions of the Imāms (peace be upon them), all of which they have related from the tongue of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), are all forged, since all of them, in addition to consecutive narration, have external contexts with themselves. In reality, they are like the news of the martyrdom of ‘Ammār, when the Messenger of Allāh (peace be upon him and his family) said,


تَقْتُلُكَ الْفِئَةُ الْبَاغِيَةُ." ⁶⁰

"The oppressive party will kill you."

No one said that after ‘Ammār was martyred by Mu‘āwiyah and his army, this tradition was forged, i.e. the tradition is an effect of that event. This is because before this event, the companions would relate this tradition. The issue of the *Imāmah* of the Imāms (peace be upon them) is the same way, regarding which it has been related from three of them, that is ‘Alī and Imām Hasan and Imām Husayn, that the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) said,

"The number of Imāms is twelve, the last of whom shares my name." And it transpired exactly in this way in the external world. In this situation, none can claim that these traditions are spurious and were forged after the occurrence of these events.

⁶⁰ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 18, Page 123



“Mahdī” in a Special Meaning and Technical Usage

Question:

Is “Mahdī” a specific label and title referring to a particular person with particular qualities and distinctions or a general concept and title applied to everyone Allāh has guided? In other words, is the Mahdī and belief in *Mahdawīyyat* related to person or a category?

Answer:

The concept of the word “Mahdī” is a general concept that is permissible to use, according to the language and common usage, for anyone that Allāh has guided. With this concept, all of the prophets and legateses (*awsiyāʾ*) are “Mahdī” (guided) and using this word for the person of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), Amīr al-Muʾminīn, Imām Ḥasan, Imām Ḥusayn, and the remaining Imāms (peace be upon them) is permissible since all of them were “Mahdī” and guided. Rather, using this word to refer to other individuals were raised and attained guidance in the school of those personages is permissible. For example, the companions of

Imām Husayn (peace be upon him) were all guided. Similarly, using the word for eminent Shī‘a, or rather all Shī‘a or all who have been guided to the truth and are on guidance is permissible. However, everyone knows that the purport of “Mahdī” which the Messenger (peace be upon him and his family) said was a particular label and title reserved for a specific and unusually mighty person about whose appearance the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) has given tidings and has invited his Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) and all the Muslims to be among those awaiting his appearance. Some of these prophetic traditions are:

الْمَهْدِي مِنْ وَلَدِي.

“The Mahdī is from my descendants.”⁶¹

الْمَهْدِي مِنْ عَثَرَتِي مِنْ وَلَدِ فَاطِمَةَ.

“The Mahdī is from my family, from the descendants of Fāṭimah.”⁶²

الْمَهْدِي مِنْ وَلَدِكَ.

“The Mahdī is from your descendants.”⁶³

“Mahdī” in the meaning of “guided”, as per the various meanings of “guidance”, such as “showing the way,” “conveying to the desired object,” and other instances is also used for non-humans, and the verse:

رَبَّنَا الَّذِي أَعْطَى كُلَّ شَيْءٍ خَلْقَهُ ثُمَّ هَدَى

⁶¹ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 36, Page 309, Ḥadīth 148

⁶² Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 51, Page 102

⁶³ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 51, Page 78

*“He said, Our Lord is the One Gave every existent what is necessary for its creation, then guided.”*⁶⁴
indicates this fact.

In spite of all this, it appears that by studying the instances in which this word has been used, the conclusion is reached that “guidance” is generally used for individuals in whom Allāh’s guidance has had an effect.

On this basis, it must be said:

الْمَهْدِيُّ مَنْ هَدَاهُ اللَّهُ وَقَبْلَ هِدَايَتِهِ وَاهْتَدَىٰ بِهَا بِعَنَايَةِ مِنْهُ وَتَوْفِيقِهِ.

That is, one who has received Allāh’s guidance is “Mahdī.” In other words, guidance in the meaning of “showing the path” has been directed at him and though the special attention and *tawfiq* of Allāh, it has born fruit in him, for which the loftiest examples are the prophets and Imāms (peace be upon them).

According to reliable traditions, “Mahdī” is the title of the same promised personage of the end of time whose genealogy and qualities have even been pointed to in reliable traditions, which cannot be applied to anyone except the twelfth Imām, the son of Imām Ḥasan al-‘Askarī (peace be upon him). The title “Mahdī” in the meaning of the receiver of Allāh’s guidance, reviver of Islām, one who will fill the world with equity and justice, and possessor of distinguished qualities, was first used with regard to that personage and this took place in the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself through his person, and the Mahdī in the sense of savior and redeemer—and other synonymous words like this from Allāh—are solely his titles.

⁶⁴ Sūrah Ṭāhā (20), Verse 50

And *mahdawiyyat* as a concept of a category is not understood from any of the narrations related from the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) or Imāms (peace be upon them).



Controversy Regarding the Date of Birth of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return)

Question:

How can the controversy about the date of birth of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), which some say corresponds to the number of the letters of the word نور (“nur,” light) – 256 – while according to some traditions, it took place in the year 255 A.H., be explained. In what year did the occultation of that personage take place?

Answer:

Dispute about such matters does not harm the basic topic or cause an enigma.

Such disagreement exists with regard to the date of birth of most historical personalities; in fact in many cases their dates of birth and death are unknown.

The disagreement about the date of birth of Imām Ṣāḥib al-‘Amr (may Allāh hasten his return) is less than the disagreement existing about the date of birth of some of the Imāms and the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself.


The reliable opinion is 255 A.H., which Fadl ibn Shāḍhān al-Nayshāpūrī—who is one of the major traditionists and a contemporary of Imām Ḥasan al-‘Askarī (peace be upon him) - has related, and his intermediary is a person like Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī ibn Hamza ibn Ḥusayn ibn ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ‘Abbās ibn ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (peace be upon him).

As for the occultation of Imām Ṣāḥib al-‘Amr (may Allāh hasten his return):

From the very time of birth the public did not have permission to visit him in the usual manner, and his venerable father would only grant special companions and Shī‘a the felicity of visiting his peerless son and **كلمة الله باقية** (Allāh’s remaining word). The commencement of the minor occultation, which was also the beginning of that personage’s *Imāmah*, took place on the day of martyrdom of Imām Ḥasan al-‘Askarī (peace be upon him), that is in the year 260 A.H.

A point which must be mentioned here is that the coming up of the issue of the occultation of the Imām was not unexpected for the Shī‘a and believers in *Imāmah* when it occurred, since it had been referred to before that time in many traditions, and the people knew that Imām Ṣāḥib al-‘Amr (may Allāh hasten his return) will have to occultations—a short occultation called “*sughra*” and “*qusra*” and a long occultation called “*kubra*” and “*tula*”.

The detailed report of that has been mentioned completely in the books and *usūl* (books of principles) of the Shī‘a which were written before the birth of Imām Ṣāḥib al-Zamān (may Allāh hasten his return).



Consensus of the Shī'a Regarding the *Imāmah*
of Imām Sahib al-Amr (may Allāh hasten his
return) after the Demise of Imām Ḥasan al-
'Askarī (peace be upon him)

Question:

According to what Nawbakhtī has written the books of Shī'a sects, the Shī'a after Imām Ḥasan al-'Askarī (peace be upon him) split up into fourteen sects. To what extent is this statement correct and until what time were these sects extant?

Answer:

As has passed, Nawbakhtī writes:

The Shī'a after the demise of Imām Ḥasan al-'Askarī (peace be upon him) were divided into fourteen sects. However, it appears that there has been some exaggeration in this view, since he and the remaining writers of books pertaining to sects have collected all the views that have been forwarded—even if held by only one person who himself did not remain firm in that opinion until the end. It

may very well be that they have mentioned “sects” about which using the word “sect” or “group” would not be correct.

This is because the number of their believers, if they had more than one individual, is not known. It does not appear that they exceeded a few people. Or it is not known until what time and to what extent they were firm in their opinion; thus, they should not be counted as sects, or else the number of sects would reach the hundreds or thousands.

Shaykh Mufid and Shaykh Ṭūsī, may Allāh have mercy on them, have also forwarded this same view.

Shaykh Mufid in the second volume of *Al-Fusūl al-Mukhtāra*, narrating from al-Nawbakhtī, mentions the names of these sects and says: None of these sects except the Shi‘a exist in our time – 372 A.H.

Thus, it becomes known that these sects have not existed to an extent that they be worthy of being pointed out at all.

Of course, if an opinion is attributed to them, even if it has no clear follower, it is necessary to research it, as Shaykh Mufid and Shaykh Ṭūsī have performed, and they have proven the falsity of the views of all of these sects except the Twelver Shi‘a.

In summary, books of sects and schools of thought have been involved in carelessness and exaggeration in counting groups and sects.

Thus, such material in books cannot be relied upon - except in case of sects that exists even today or whose existence as a group is affirmed by reliable histories and references.



The Twelfth Imām's Revolution

Question:

Is the abundance the titles of the Imām of the Age because of the profusion of his personal, spiritual, and physical characteristics, or is it on account of the vastness of his reformative actions?

Answer:


It is understood from the traditions that the blessed names of the twelfth Imām (may Allāh hasten his return) are: Qā'im (The Riser), Mahdī (The Guided), Ghā'ib (The Absent), and Ḥujjat (The Proof). In addition, various traditions mention him with titles such as Ḥujjatullāh (The Proof of Allāh), Khalīfatullāh (Caliph of Allāh), and al-Qā'im (The Riser). The reason for the abundance of his titles is the same two factors mentioned above. Of course, out of these titles, some are more well known than others.

It is possible that conditions in a particular time cause people to pay greater attention to one of these titles or qualities or that a particular aspect of the issue be discussed more, and consequently speakers, writers, and poets give more attention to that title or

aspect. This is similar to the “most beautiful names” (*al-asmā’ al-ḥusna*) of Allāh, in which individual circumstances or prevailing conditions cause people to give more attention to one of those names and call Him by it, such as “Al-Shāfi” (The Healer), “Al-Salām” (The Security), “Al-Ḥāfiẓ” (The Protector), or “Al-Rāziq” (The Sustainer). And this is not to mean that the remaining “most beautiful names” do not have a reason for being attributed to Allāh.

Thus, each of the names and titles of the Imām of the Time (may Allāh hasten his return) refer to one of his qualities or actions, and most of them have been mentioned in traditions that broach the actual issue of the twelfth Imām and his reappearance. That is, that personage was well known by these names and titles years before he himself or his father were even born.

Regarding the fact that the twelfth Imām is the same as the Mahdī and the Mahdī is no different from the twelfth Imām, eminent Sunni scholars agree with the Shī‘a. For this very reason individuals like Abū Dawūd - author of the book *Sunan* (prophetic character) - have narrated traditions of the twelve Imāms, and among his titles, his being promised by the prophets and his personal excellencies and genealogy are referred to.



The title “Al-Qā’im” (The Riser)

Question:

As everyone knows, one of the titles of the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) is “Al-Qā’im” (The Riser). A tradition has been related regarding the reason for his being given this title that requires contemplation, since it apparently indicates that this title was given because that personage will reappear after death. Yet we have over approximately one thousand traditions regarding that personage, his occultation, and long life, in view of which that tradition cannot be relied upon. However, if some clarifications about its narrators, text, and meaning can be given, it would be useful.

Answer:

The great scholar Shaykh al-Ṭūsī narrates an unreliable tradition that apparently indicates that the “Qā’im” was given this title because he will arise after death. Shaykh al-Ṭūsī has provided a bit of explanation about this tradition, but before we enter this topic, we consider it necessary to mention the basics of *Imāmah* in Shīaism,

which verses of the Noble Qur'ān, traditions, and rational proofs affirm. These principles are as follows:

1. *Imāmah* is a Divine covenant, and individuals—who are worthy of bearing it—are specified and appointed by Allāh, and this Divine selection and appointment is announced to the people by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family).

2. The most important conditions of the Imām are sinlessness and being more knowledgeable than all other people, such that all be in need of his knowledge, guidance, and direction, which he be free of need of all, as has been related about Khalīl ibn Aḥmad that he said about the *Imāmah* of Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him):

إِحْتِيَاجُ الْكُلِّ إِلَيْهِ وَأَسْتِغْنَاؤُهُ عَنِ الْكُلِّ دَلِيلٌ عَلَى أَنَّهُ إِمَامٌ الْكُلِّ.

“The dependence of all others on him and his independence from all others are proof that he is the Imām of all.”⁶⁵

3. The earth will never be without a Divine Proof and Imām, and whoever dies without having recognized the Imām of his time dies the death of ignorance.

4. The Imāms, as per the text of *mutawātir* traditions from the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), are twelve personages.

5. They are twelve and all are from the Ahl al-Bāit (peace be upon them) of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), and according to the meaning of the *mutawātir* traditions of Thaḳalayn (The Two Weighty Things), they are equals of the Qur'ān and shall never part from the Qur'ān.

6. The Imāms possess all of the religious and administrative stations except prophethood, which was sealed with the Holy

⁶⁵ Mu'jam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, Volume 7, Page 76 and Tanfiḥ al-Maqāl, Volume 1, Page 403

Prophet (peace be upon him and his family). And as Imām ‘Ali (peace be upon him) has said in *Nahj al-Balagha*, they are قوام الله, or the Divine Guardians⁶⁶ over the creation, and on the basis of another tradition, they are the ship of salvation.

لَا يَدْخُلُ الْجَنَّةَ إِلَّا مَنْ عَرَفَهُمْ وَعَرَفُوهُ وَلَا يَدْخُلُ النَّارَ إِلَّا مَنْ أَنْكَرَهُمْ
وَهُمْ سَفْنُ النَّجَاةِ وَأَمَانُ الْأُمَّةِ مِنَ الضَّلَالِ وَالْإِخْتِلَافِ.

*“None shall enter Paradise except one who recognizes them and whom they recognize, and none shall enter Hell except one who denies them; they are the ships of salvation and refuge of the ummat from deviation and discord.”*⁶⁷

7. The name, qualities, and order of the *Imāmah* of the Imāms (peace be upon them) was specified by the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family), and in addition, each Imām specified the following Imām.

These are among the important foundations of belief in the principle of *Imāmah*, and for every Muslim who believes in the unseen world and in Allāh, messengership, and the messengership of the Seal of the Prophets (peace be upon him and his family), the criterion of truth of these fundamentals is a rational proof, Qur’ānic verse, or definite and *mutawātir* tradition. And most of these fundamentals can be proven by all three means.

The fact that *Imāmah* is a Divine covenant and Allāh specifies it has been argued by rational and Qur’ānic proofs and the consecutively-narrated *sunnat*. ‘Allāmah Hilli has established one thousand proofs of this issue in *Alfāin*, and in fact this issue has its

⁶⁶ Nahj al-Balāgha, Ṣubḥi al-Ṣāliḥ, Speech 152, Part 7

⁶⁷ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 6, Page 233

roots in the unity of Allāh, and like (?) the principle of Divine Unity, it comprises unity of rulership and authority over creation:

لَهُ الْحُكْمُ وَلَهُ الْأَمْرُ وَهُوَ السُّلْطَانُ وَهُوَ الْحَاكِمُ وَهُوَ الْوَلِيُّ وَهُوَ الْعَالِمُ
بِمَصَالِحِ عِبَادِهِ لَا أَمْرَ وَلَا نَهْيَ لِأَحَدٍ دُونَهُ.

“His is the command and rule, He is the King and the Ruler and the One in authority, He is the one who is cognizant of the interests of His servants. Commanding and Forbidding is for none save Him.”

In the issue of the necessity of sinlessness and being the most knowledgeable as well, abundant rational, Qur’ānic, and narrational proofs exist, among which is this verse:

أَفَمَنْ يَهْدِي إِلَى الْحَقِّ أَحَقُّ أَنْ يُتَّبَعَ أَمَّنْ لَا يَهْدِي إِلَّا أَنْ يُهْدَى

“Then is one who guides to the truth more worthy to be followed, or one who does not find guidance except by being guided?”⁶⁸

The late ‘Allāmah has also established one thousand proofs about the issue of the necessity of sinlessness.

Regarding the principle that the earth shall not remain without a Proof and Imām, in addition to verses such as:

وَلِكُلِّ قَوْمٍ هَادٍ

“And every people has a guide.”⁶⁹

وَلَقَدْ وَصَّلْنَا لَهُمُ الْقَوْلَ

⁶⁸ Sūrah Yūnis (10), Verse 35

⁶⁹ Sūrah Ra’d (13), Verse 7

“And certainly we conveyed them the verses of *Qur’ān* continuously.”⁷⁰

يَوْمَ نَدْعُو كُلَّ أُنَاسٍ بِإِمَامِهِمْ

“The day when We will call every people with their leader.”⁷¹

Mutawātir traditions are also found, among which is the well-known tradition of Kumāil ibn Ziyād from Amīr al-Mu’minīn (peace be upon him) which has been mentioned in *Nahj al-Balāgha* and all other books of Zaydī and Twelver Shī‘a and even in the reliable books of the Sunnis, such as *Tadhkirat ul-Huffāz*. From this it is known that all are agreed that the earth will never be empty of a Proof; of course, it makes no difference whether the Proof is apparent or hidden.

And in *Sawā’iq* and other books of the Sunnis, a discourse has been related from Imām Zāin al-‘Abidīn (peace be upon him) in which it has been explicitly mentioned that the world is not without an Imām from the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them).

These principles have even been explicated in the supplications of the Imāms from Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them). In this regard, we will suffice with only a portion of the supplication of the day of ‘Arafāh from Imām Zāin al-‘Ābidīn (peace be upon him). That personage says:

اللَّهُمَّ إِنَّكَ أَيَّدْتَ دِينَكَ فِي كُلِّ أَوَانٍ بِإِمَامٍ أَقَمْتَهُ عِلْمًا
لِعِبَادِكَ وَ مَنَارًا فِي بِلَادِكَ بَعْدَ أَنْ وَصَلْتَ حَبْلَهُ بِحَبْلِكَ وَ
جَعَلْتَهُ الذَّرِيعَةَ إِلَى رِضْوَانِكَ وَ افْتَرَضْتَ طَاعَتَهُ وَ حَذَرْتَ

⁷⁰ Sūrah Qaṣaṣ (28), Verse 51

⁷¹ Sūrah Isrā’ (17), Verse 71

مَعْصِيَّتُهُ وَ أَمَرْتُ بِامْتِثَالِ أَوْامِرِهِ وَ الْإِتِّهَاءِ عِنْدَ نَهْيِهِ وَ أَنْ لَا
يَتَقَدَّمَهُ مُتَقَدِّمُو لَا يَتَأَخَّرَ عَنْهُ مُتَأَخَّرٌ فَهُوَ عِصْمَةُ اللَّائِذِينَ وَ
كَهْفُ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ وَ عُرْوَةُ الْمُتَمَسِّكِينَ وَ بَهَاءُ الْعَالَمِينَ

“Allāh! You have supported your religion in every age with an Imām whom You established as a standard for Your servants and a beacon in Your lands, after You linked his covenant with Yours and made him the means of Your pleasure, made obligatory his obedience and cautioned against his disobedience, commanded to execute his commands and shun what he forbids and that none should precede him nor stay back from him. Thus he is the guard of those seeking refuge and the recourse of the believers, handle of those who grasp (guidance) and the glory of the inhabitants of the universe.”⁷²

Anyone who looks carefully at this portion of the supplication will both come to recognize the Shī‘a viewpoint regarding the principle of *Imāmah* and will also understand that this station and these affairs were established for the Imām from the beginning and no one has added anything to them.

As for the issue that “Whoever dies without recognizing the Imām of his time dies the death of ignorance,” this is also a principle which reliable traditions have conveyed explicitly. The reliable traditions of *Thaqalain* (the two weighty things), *Ṣafīna* (the ship of salvation), and *Amān* (safety) all indicate this point.

And it has been explicitly mentioned in *mutawātir* traditions that the Imāms are twelve personages, all of whom are from the Ahl al-

⁷² Ṣaḥīfah Sajjādiyah, Supplicaiton 47

Baīt of the Prophet, and eleven of them are from the progeny of ‘Alī and Fāṭimah (peace be upon them), the first of them being Amīr al-Mu’minīn (peace be upon him), and after him Imām Ḥasan al-Mujtabā (peace be upon him), followed by the Prince of Martyrs Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him), and after him nine people from his progeny, of whom the ninth—who is the twelfth Imām—is Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return).

Thus, since these principles have been established with firm proofs, eminent scholars such as Shaykh al-Ṭūsī, Shaykh al-Mufid, Ibn Babawayh, ‘Allāmah Majlisī (Allāh’s mercy upon them all) have refuted by relying on these principles the statement of anyone who, previous to their time or subsequent to it, has said something to the contrary or given attention to a rare and unaccepted tradition. This is because the buttress of the proofs of these principles is reliable to an extent that it can even be claimed that after the principle of Divine unity and prophecy, no principle is so reliable.

In view of all this, no Shī‘a scholar has held that the rising of the Qā’im (may Allāh hasten his return) will occur after his death. And if anyone has brought up baseless possibilities, taking into account the points mentioned and since they are completely against objective realities, they must not be given any attention, since they have no scientific value.

After this preface and explanation of this point, the life and long occultation of the Imām has been established on the basis of these principles. There remains no room for this tradition that says the Qā’im (may Allāh hasten his return) will rise after death, since this notion would result in the severing of the thread of *Imāmah*, the earth’s remaining without the existence of a sinless Imām, and the refutation of many traditions which indicate the life and long occultation of that personage.

Aside from all of these objections, the above-mentioned tradition's chain of narrators is not reliable, and none of the 'Ulamā' or Fuqahā' (jurists) has relied on such traditions in even a single secondary issue. This is because one of the narrators is Mūsā ibn Sa'dan al-Hannat, whom scholars of rijāl (the science of the study of narrators) have described as weak and whose narration they consider unreliable. He has narrated this tradition from 'Abdullāh ibn Qāsim, whom they have titled "The Ever-Lying Hero." He in turn narrates from Abū Sa'id al-Khurasānī, and if we don't consider his existence unknown according to reference books of rijāl, then his position—in terms of being truthful or dishonest—is unclear.

Now, contemplate; how can this tradition—whose narrator is the champion of fabrication—be relied upon in opposition to those firm fundamentals and hundreds of reliable traditions?

As for the reason for employing the title "Al-Qā'im" for the Imām of the Age (may Allāh hasten his return):

"Al-Qā'im" means "the one who rises." The Imām shall rise against political conditions and religious and social deviations and fill the world with justice and equity after it had become filled with injustice and oppression. Additionally, the issue of rising with the sword and armed struggle is also understood from that title.

Nevertheless, since "rising" has weak and strong degrees, it can be understood from traditions that all of the Imāms were قائم بالأمر *"Qā'im bi 'l-amr"* (upholders of the affair), and it is proper and befitting to employ this title for all of them, in accordance with the positions they had. But since the rising of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) is a world uprising that subsumes all social, individual, political, and economical conditions of humanity and brings to fruition Allāh's promises to His prophets and the prophets' prophecies to their people, this title is used without qualification for

that personage. Thus, anytime they say “al-Qā’im” and their is not context that another one of the Imāms is intended, Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) is understood from that.

In a tradition that Shaykh Ṣadūq narrates in the book *Kamāl al-Dīn* from Imām Muḥammad at-Taḳī (peace be upon him), the ninth Imām, it has come:

إِنَّ الْإِمَامَ بَعْدِي أَبْنِي عَلِيٍّ...

“The Imām after me is my son ‘Alī - Imām ‘Alī al-Naqī (peace be upon him) - whose command is my command, whose speech is my speech, and obedience to whom is obedience to me. And the Imāmah after him has been placed in his son Ḥasan - Imām Ḥasan al-‘Askarī (peace be upon him). His command is his father’s command, his word is his father’s word, and obedience to him is obedience to his father.

The narrator says, After that the Imām fell silent.

I asked, O’ son of the Messenger of Allāh, then who is the Imām after Ḥasan (peace be upon him)?

The Imām at first wept to a great extent and then said, After Ḥasan, his son is the Establisher of Truth, the Awaited (القائم بالحق) (المنتظر).

I said, O’ son of the Messenger, why has that personage been named “Qā’im” (The Riser)?

The Imām replied, because after his name and remembrance is forgotten and most of the believers in his Imāmah turn back from their creed, he shall rise.

I said, Why has he been named “Muntazār” (The Awaited)?

He replied, because he has an occultation with a very long duration, such true believers will wait for his advent and

appearance, but people of doubt and uncertainty will deny and rejecters will ridicule him. Those who specify a time for it will become many and those who are hasty during that occultation will be destroyed, but the Muslims—those who submit—will achieve salvation.”⁷³

‘Allāmah Majlisī has said, the meaning of “death” that has come in that weak tradition is that that personage will arise after his name and memory has been forgotten.

And Shaykh Mufid relates a tradition from Imām as-Sādiq (peace be upon him) in *al-Irshad* in which he says, "سمي القائم لقيامه بالحق" “He was named the ‘Qā’im’ because he shall arise with truth.”⁷⁴

And from some narrations another reason that is understood is that the Imām was given this title by Allāh because, in the worlds before this world, he used to stand and pray.

Regarding the entitling of that personage with the title “Al-Mahdī” as well, appropriate reasons have been mentioned. Of course, it is not the case that “Al-Qā’im” is the original title and “Al-Mahdī” is a secondary title. Both are titles and the usage of each name has a separate reason. Rather, it can be said that since the concept of the “Mahdī” is “the one whom Allāh has guided” (من هداه الله), according to rank, a person must be “Qā’im” whom Allāh has guided.

That is, the “Qā’im” must be “one whom Allāh has guided,” but it is not necessary that “one whom Allāh has guided” must always be “Qā’im.” However, the actions, reforms, movement, uprising, and stand that will take place by the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his

⁷³ Kamāl ad-Dīn, Volume 2, Page 378, Section 36, Ḥadīth 3. See also, Ma’ānī al-Akhbār

⁷⁴ Irshād of Shaykh Mufid, Page 364

return), such as establishment of a world government, are dependent on rising and the becoming actualized of the title “Qā’im.”

These titles are not classified into primary and secondary, and all have been heard from the blessed tongues of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) and pure Imāms (peace be upon them); nor do they have “old” and “new.” Each has its own particular concept and each has been used with attention to a particular aspect. In texts, sometimes all of these titles are mentioned, sometimes one, and another time one title is mentioned before another.

In any case, application of these titles is on the basis of essential and actualized titles, all of which that personage possesses.

﴿24﴾

Two Types of Occultation

Question:

According to the content of existing traditions, the occultation of the Imām of the Age (may Allāh hasten his return) has occurred in two forms. During the first occultation, communication with him was possible through his representatives and agents, but with the ending of this period and the beginning of the second occultation, which is the complete occultation, the period of the responsibility of the agents and particular representatives also ended.

The question is whether referring to these two occultation as the “minor” (صغری) and “major” (کبری) was prevalent from the beginning or whether it became prevalent in later times, like the Safawī period.

Answer:

Discussion regarding issues like this should not be a verbal discussion. Whether a person calls the first occultation, which was shorter, the minor occultation (کبری) or the shorter occultation (قصری), or the second occultation, which has a long duration, the

major occultation (کبری) or the longer occultation (طولی) or refers to them by any other word, the fact and reality of the matter is not changed. In any case, these two occultations have occurred.

The issue is that principle of the occultation having two forms was explicitly stated in the *usūl* (early ḥadīth collections) and other books of ḥadīth even before its occurrence. Nu‘mānī and others who lived before the termination of the minor occultation have narrated it, and this fact is proof that the two forms of occultation were put forth.

During the period of the minor occultation, though the position of the Shī‘a was sensitive, no one can claim that all these traditions related from the Imāms (peace be upon them) in books like the *Ghaybat* of al-Fadl ibn Shāḍhān and Nu‘mānī and *Kamāl al-Dīn* of Sadūq are all falsified and were written after the occurrence of the occultation.

We see that the Shī‘a of the various cities and regions would refer to the *nawwāb* (representatives); they did not do such for no reason. Signs and evidences were definitely present that indicated that they were in contact with the Imām. Otherwise, it is impossible that individuals like ‘Alī ibn Babāwayh, with that intellectual position and eminence of thought, would pursue contact with the Imām (peace be upon him) through the *nawwāb* without their link being as clear for him as daylight. This event itself indicates that they had clear evidence of the truth of the representatives.

The other issue is that though Abū Ja‘far al-‘Amrawī - ‘Uthman ibn Sa‘īd—and the remaining representatives were a means of Shī‘a unity and their agency was completely accepted in all Shī‘a circles and regions, especially a city like Qum, this unity was because of belief in the *Imāmah* of the twelfth Imām. This issue brought about

the spiritual influence of the representatives, not that they became a cause of Shī'a unity without taking in view the issue of *Imāmah*.

The unity and consensus of the Shī'a about believing in the *Imāmah* of the twelfth Imām was the cause of their unity in following the Imām's (peace be upon them) representatives.


Just as today belief in the *Imāmah* of that personage is a cause of the spiritual influence in believers' hearts of the 'Ulamā' and Fuqahā' (scholars and jurists) as general representatives of that personage.

During the minor occultation, specification of the representatives took place directly by the person of the Imām (peace be upon him), and the fact that we see eminent scholars lowering their heads in submission before the representatives is proof that this selection was by the person of the Imām (peace be upon him) on the basis of the representatives' worthiness. And the submission of all classes and political and scholarly personalities is proof that original leadership during the period of the minor occultation was with the person of the Imām (peace be upon him).

It was as a result of the existence of persuasive evidence and proofs that scholarly men of the Shī'a and personalities like Abū Sahl al-Nawbakhtī and Ibn Matil, and Ḥasan ibn Janahasibi and other eminent Shī'a believed in the righteousness of the representatives.

Similarly, after the demise of the fourth representative—'Alī ibn Muḥammad al-Samarri - also the issue that the period of occultation and the particular representation has ended was accepted by all. And if any claimed to be a representative, they repudiated him on the basis of this very principle, and in passing it can be said that one of the important wisdoms of the shorter occultation was to make the Shī'a familiar with the issue of occultation and preparing the ground

for the period of the long occultation, so the Shī‘a can continue his life during a long period without the apparent presence of the Imām and successfully complete this major Divine test.



The Miraculous Birth of the Imām of the Age (may Allāh hasten his return)

Question:

Miracles and supernatural events are related with regard to the birth of the twelfth Imām. To what extent are these miracles reliable and how can they be proven? And why have some historians not related them?

Answer:

1. Historians have written and recorded the actual birth of Imām Mahdī the Twelfth Imām, son of Imām al-'Askarī (peace be upon him), like all other historical events.

2. Regarding some of the miracles that occurred during that personage's birth or that of all other prophets and trustees (awsiya'), if prevalent historical sources do not provide anything, this is considered a defect of the sources mentioned, since the same historians in many instances have pointed to some historical aspects which were not so important. This lack of attention by a writer of history to some aspects of an issue sometimes originates from

creedal prejudice. But this action, whatever it's proof maybe, does not harm the relations of other people's statements that took place based on reliable sources.

The history of the prophets is *akandeh* of miracles, and the birth and growth of most prophets was not normal. For example, the creation of Ādam, birth of Ibrāhīm (peace be upon him), birth of Ishāq and Mūsā and Yahyā and 'Isā and his speaking from the cradle are all among abnormal events.

All of these are a chain of historical events, though such-and-such historian may not have related them. The details of the birth of the Imām of the Age (may Allāh hasten his return), which is among the uncontestable historical events, are similar. Its not being narrated by historians who were either biased or intended to be brief or intended other things does not harm the issue itself.

That which the Shī'a attribute to the Imāms is similar to the issues that have been said about Ibrāhīm, Ishāq, Isma'īl, Mūsā, 'Isā, Yahyā, and so on, all of which, of course, also have a reliable chain of narration.

The events related to the birth of the Twelfth Imām are more reliable, from the point of view of chain of narration and source, than most historical events that one sees.



Philosophy of the Delay of Reappearance with the Presence of Conditions

Question:

Throughout history, we sometimes come across conditions and opportunities in which it is assumed the requisites for reappearance are present, for example, the people's receptiveness to religion, self-sacrifice in the path of Islām, giving their lives, and martyrdom for it was to such an extent or with such fervor and commotion that it appears that rather than 313 people, thousands of people are ready to sacrifice their lives under the command of the Imām (peace be upon him). With the existence of these conditions, what is the secret of the delay of the reappearance?

Answer:

Regarding the presence of the conditions for the reappearance of the Imām of the Age (may Allāh hasten his return):

First, no one can claim to know with certainty, that is, say that all the conditions are fulfilled, since this claim itself requires

knowledge of all the conditions, since it is possible that the traditions have not comprised an exposition of all of the conditions.


Second, assuming that the conditions are limited to those instances that have come in the traditions, as Shaykh al-Ṣadūq has said, in reality one can still not be sure that those particular 313 companions and the remaining conditions are present.

This is because if all of the conditions and circumstances apparently indicate the presence of the requisites of reappearance, without denying, for example, the existence of pure individuals who can be counted among the 313 companions of the Imām (peace be upon him), we also cannot claim that all of the individuals are like Salmān, Abū Dhar, Miqdād, Rashīd Hijrī, and the people of Karbalā’.

In the present situation, with all the claims that are put forward in our society for returning to and aspiring at Islām, which are certainly a matter of pride, we still see that many bring the Divine laws under question in a large number of political, economical, and social issues. This is to such an extent that they regard some religious commandments that are not exclusive to a particular time or place as being restricted to the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) time and on this pretext free themselves from responsibility. With the existence of such individuals and events, how can we say that the conditions for reappearance are ready, let alone asking about the reason for its delay?

On this basis, in this issue it is appropriate for us to submit to the command and will of Allāh, the All-Knowing, and not forgo the merit of waiting for the reappearance. And as was indicated in the tradition of ‘Alī ibn Mahziyār, we should attribute the concealment of the Imām (peace be upon him) to our own actions and always keep the memory of that personage alive in our hearts and try to

bring about the requisites of his appearance ever more by reforming our own actions.



The Length of the Occultation and Difficult and Formidable Trials

Question:

According to what is well known, during the occultation of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), which will be very lengthy, difficult trials will occur such that a person will be a believer in the morning but a disbeliever in the evening. Are these types of trials in the time near the reappearance or will such trials occur throughout the period of occultation?

Answer:

According to Islāmic principles, the world is a place of tests and trials and people are in a state of being tried during everything that happens to them. During youth and old age, whether wealthy or in need, in health and illness, when in power, when in leadership, they are always in a state of trial; it makes no difference whether the Imām (peace be upon him) is present or in occultation.

The Qur’ān says in this regard:

أَحْسَبَ النَّاسَ أَنْ يُتْرَكُوا أَنْ يَقُولُوا آمَنَّا وَهُمْ لَا يُفْتَنُونَ

“Have the people presumed they would be released upon saying, ‘We believe,’ without being tested?”⁷⁵

As we know, during the time of the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) himself, while all of the programs and incidents were a test, sometimes, severe trials would occur, in which none but a few were able to fulfill their obligation. For example, in battle, aside from individuals like ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib (peace be upon him) and Abū Dujāna and a few others, none were able to stand firm in *jihad* and defense of Islām and the Prophet. This is because during severe trials, only a limited number of people have the ability to stand firm; many an individual would flee out of fear. It has been related that in one of the battles ‘Uthmān fled from the battlefield and returned after three days. Or after the Prophet’s (peace be upon him and his family) demise, such a severe trial came to pass that not more than three or seven people were able to successfully perform their duty and remain firm on the line that the Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) had specified. Afterwards as well, such trials continued and shall continue, so that, in the words of the Qur’ān:

لَيَمِيزَ الْخَبِيثَ مِنَ الطَّيِّبِ

“...so that Allāh separates the impure from the pure.”⁷⁶

These trials have wisdom and many benefits, among which is that the disposition of the people of the world and society become ready for that dignified reappearance in which the resolute and steadfast believers will be separated from the remaining people.

⁷⁵ Sūrah ‘Ankabūt (29), Verse 2

⁷⁶ Sūrah Anfāl (8), Verse 37

Preserving one's faith during the period of the Imām's (peace be upon him) occultation is possible by enduring very severe difficulties. Without doubt, millions of people leave this school of trials with pride and heads held high, that is through patience and forbearance and perseverance in difficulties, they succeed in preserving their religion, faith, and honor.

According to the content of some traditions, during this period protecting one's religion will become more difficult than holding fire in the palm of one's hand, and oppression, injustice, corruption, and perversion will become prevalent. Values will be regarded as being against values, and things opposed to values will be regarded as values. Sins will be considered a matter of pride and accomplishment. One's friends will encourage and induce him to sin and reproach him for not cooperating with oppressors, sinners, and corrupt people.

Women will enter into work exclusive to men. Many wars and natural afflictions will come about. In a tradition of Jābir ibn Abdullāh al-Anṣārī regarding the commentary of the verse:

أَطِيعُوا اللَّهَ وَأَطِيعُوا الرَّسُولَ وَأُولِي الْأَمْرِ مِنْكُمْ

“(O you who believe!) Obey Allāh and obey the Messenger and those in authority amongst you (the legatees of the Messenger).”⁷⁷

It has come that the Noble Messenger (peace be upon him and his family) gives news about his *khulafā'* and successors from Imām 'Alī (peace be upon him) until Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), names them one after one and gives tidings to the people about the conquering of the East and West of the world at the

⁷⁷ Sūrah Nisā (4), Verse 59

blessed hands of Imām al-Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return), and says, *inter alia*:

"ذَلِكَ الَّذِي يُغِيبُ عَنْ شِيعَتِهِ وَأَوْلِيَائِهِ غَيْبَةً لَا يُشَبِّتُ فِيهَا عَلَى الْقَوْلِ
بِإِمَامَتِهِ إِلَّا مَنْ أَمْتَحَنَ اللَّهُ قَلْبَهُ لِلْإِيمَانِ."

*"He is the one who will be concealed from his Shi'a and friends such a concealment that none shall remain firm in belief in his Imāmah except one whose heart Allāh has tested for faith."*⁷⁸

And Amīr al-Mu'minīn has also informed about these difficulties and tribulations in *Nahj al-Balagha*. In one instance, he says;

مَا أَطْوَلَ هَذَا أَلْعَنَاءَ وَأَبْعَدَ هَذَا الرَّجَاءَ.

*"How lengthy is this adversity, and how distant is this hope!"*⁷⁹

It has even been related, in another tradition, that:

إِنَّ لِصَاحِبِ هَذَا الْأَمْرِ غَيْبَةً أَلْتَمَسَكَ فِيهَا بِدِينِهِ كَالْخَارِطِ لِلْقِتَادِ.

*"Verily the Master of this Affair has a such a concealment that one who grips firmly to his religion during it is like one who picks thorns with his hands."*⁸⁰


Therefore, as can be understood from the traditions, the entire period of concealment is a period of trial and examination; of course, the types of those trials are different in the different times and places. The believer during this period must show perseverance in abiding by the commandments of religion and struggling to elevate the word of Islām and honor of the Muslims and to repel the

⁷⁸ A'lām al-Warā, Page 397

⁷⁹ Nahj al-Balāha, Ṣubḥī as-Ṣāliḥ, Speech 187, Part 4

⁸⁰ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 52, Page 111, Ḥadīth 21

influence and cultural and political domination of foreigners. He must be in combat and *jihad* with all negative and unhelpful circumstances and conditions and be hopeful of the victory of Islām and the Muslims and the succor of Allāh. He must not lose hold of himself in face of the power and strength of the disbelievers or become inclined towards their improper behavior. And at the same time he must have certainty that the promises of Allāh and the Prophet of Allāh are true and that eventually Islām will be victorious and conquer the world, as a result of which justice and equity shall fill the world.



The Principle of Grace and the *Imāmah* of the Concealed Imām

Question:

Has the “principle of grace” been derived from the traditions and narrations of the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them) or has it entered Shī‘a *kalām* (theology) through the contact of the Shī‘a with the Mu‘tazila? And what is the method of applying this principle with the existence of the concealed Imām?

Answer:

Since the Shī‘a have many proofs regarding the principle of *Imāmah* aside from the principle of grace, with the existence of those proofs, if the principle of grace is relied upon, it is for the purpose of supporting the proofs.

As for the contact of the Shī‘a with the Mu‘tazila, who are sometimes referred to as the *adliyya* (Equitists) as opposed to the *Asha‘ira*, it should be known that though the Mu‘tazila, a sect that splintered off from the Asha‘ira and came into being after the Shī‘a, were in agreement with the Shī‘a over some beliefs and theological

issues, this is not proof of their affecting the Shī'a school. Rather, it is instead proof of their being affected by Shī'a beliefs, since the Asha'ira are a sect that came into being later on. Moreover, as was mentioned, all of the Shī'a beliefs are taken from reason, the Glorious Qur'ān, and traditions of the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them). The principle of grace is also from the Shī'a themselves and is derived from those very sources and origin.

It is in a tradition that Jābir asked the Prophet of Allāh (peace be upon him and his family) about the benefit of the existence of a concealed Imām and the way of deriving benefit from his existence during the concealment. The Prophet (peace be upon him and his family) said in reply,

أَيُّ وَالَّذِي بَعَثَنِي بِالنُّبُوَّةِ! إِنَّهُمْ يَنْتَفِعُونَ بِهِ وَيَسْتَظْهِرُونَ بِنُورِ وَلَايَتِهِ فِي غَيْبَتِهِ كَانْتَفَاعِ النَّاسِ بِالشَّمْسِ وَإِنْ جَلَّلَهَا السَّحَابُ.

“Yes, by the One who sent me with the prophecy! Verily they benefit from him and seek light from the illumination of his wilāyah (authority) during his concealment just as people benefit from the sun though it has been covered by clouds.”⁸¹

To negate in entirety that the existence of a concealed Imām is *lutf* (grace) is not proper for a rational person who is unaware of unseen affairs. After the *Imāmah* itself and his concealment are established, certainty of the existence of grace and presence of a benefit in his existence is necessary, since if the appointment of an Imām who has been commanded to go into concealment does not entail grace, it is futile and vain; and Allāh is far above vain and

⁸¹ al-Zām al-Nāṣib, Volume 1, Page 429

futile actions. Therefore, the appointment of a concealed Imām by Allāh certainly entails *lutf* (grace).

Granted, if we wish to establish both the necessity of appointment of an Imām and the *Imāmah* of a concealed person through the principle of grace, the objection arises that it must first be known that the concealed Imām entails grace. Otherwise, without knowledge of its being grace, his *Imāmah* will not be established. However, we establish the necessity of appointment of an Imām through the principle of grace and the *Imāmah* of the concealed Imām through other firm proofs, and by joining these two proofs to the fact that Allāh does not do anything in vain, it is established that the *Imāmah* of the concealed Imām entails grace. The statement of al-Muhaqqiq al-Ṭūsī, who says;

وَجُودُهُ لُطْفٌ وَتَصَرُّفُهُ لُطْفٌ آخِرٌ وَعَدَمُهُ مِنَّا.

is based on the principle that the existence of the Imām is grace absolutely, whether manifest or hidden. And this is a principle that has been established in accordance with the speech of Amīral-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), who says:


لَنَّا تُبْطِلُ حُجَجَ اللَّهِ وَبَيِّنَاتِهِ.

The Divine proofs and signs are protected by the existence of the Imām, whether he be present or concealed.

It is worthy of mention that if the objection of the absence of grace with regard to the concealed Imām be valid, it is also applicable to an Imām who is not concealed, yet who is not able to act freely in affairs. His *Imāmah* will be without grace for the same reason that the *Imāmah* of the concealed Imām is regarded as being without grace. However, this objection has not been made with

regard to the manifest Imām who is not able to freely act in the affairs and tasks pertaining to *Imāmah*. Similarly, it has not been made regarding a prophet who, due to circumstances, is unable to guide others or whose guidance is not effective, or a prophet whose mission was general, but opponents and adversaries prevented his message from reaching the entire public, and it has not been denied that his prophecy entails grace.

It is possible to establish a rational proof of the *Imāmah* of the concealed Imām (peace be upon him) with the exposition that appointment and specification of the Imām by Allāh is grace, and grace is obligatory upon Allāh. Therefore, Allāh has appointed someone to *Imāmah* after Imām Ḥasan al-‘Askarī (peace be upon him), and that person will not be other than his son, since the incapacity and invalidity of the other claimants to *Imāmah* has been established and at present, no one has such a claim. Thus, either Allāh has withheld grace to His servants during the period of concealment, which is opposed to Divine wisdom, or He has appointed an Imām out of grace, in which case the Imām is none other than the twelfth Imām.



The Issue of *Badā'* (Alteration in the Divine Will) and the Tradition of Abū Hamza

QUESTION:

A tradition has been narrated by Abū Hamza Thumali from Imām Al-Bāqir (peace be upon him) according to which Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) said that relief will come after the 70th year after Hijra, but because of the martyrdom of Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) the affair was delayed until the year 140 after Hijra. Then, because the Shī'a did not protect the secret, Allāh once again delayed the affair such that He did not place any time for it in the knowledge of the Imāms.

Please explain the commentary of this tradition along with the many other traditions that indicate that the promised relief shall occur after long periods and following great events and happenings. And doesn't the occurrence of *badā'*, which is understood from this tradition, cause the presumption that something became known for Allāh—we seek refuge with Allāh—after it had been unknown? In any case, what is the correct explanation?

ANSWER:

First: This tradition has objections in its chain of narrators, since according to books of *rijāl*, Abū Hamza al-Thumālī did not belong to the fourth generation of narrators; his demise occurred in the year 150 A.H. Ḥasan ibn Maḥbūb, who according to the chain of narrators narrated this tradition from Abū Hamza, is from the sixth generation, and passed away at the age of 75 years in the year 224 A.H. Thus, Ḥasan ibn Maḥbūb was not more than one year old when Abū Hamza passed away. In such a case it is absolutely not possible for him to narrate from Abū Hamza, and without doubt another individual was an intermediary between him and Abū Hamza. However, since it is not known who that person is, we cannot regard this tradition as reliable; moreover, a tradition narrated by only one person cannot be an authority in doctrinal principles, let alone when its chain of narrators is also unknown.

Second: With the existence of many reliable traditions that all explicitly indicate that the reappearance of Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) and the just government of the righteous shall not come to pass that quickly and that one must await magnificent changes and grave events over very long periods before his reappearance, how can a forged tradition with only one chain of narrators be relied upon in the face of all those traditions? Moreover, many sermons and traditions have been related from Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) in which both the length of the period during which one must await the reappearance and the great events and severe trials of the believers have been referred to. With the existence of this, how is it possible to say that a singly-narrated, forged traditions that says that the Imām specified the time of reappearance as the year 70 A.H. is reliable.

In addition, alteration in Divine decrees is a conceivable matter, though this does not mean that Allāh the Exalted is unaware of affairs in the beginning and then acquires knowledge of them. Such a belief is invalid according to the Shī‘a, since all Shī‘a believe Allāh to be free and far removed from ignorance coming to know about something after its being concealed. *Badā’*, in the conception which the Shī‘a believe in, is a Qur’ānic and Islāmic principle, and an important part of the issues of theology and similarly the issues of prophecy are based on it.

Among the verses related to *badā’* are;

وَلَوْ أَنَّ أَهْلَ الْقُرَىٰ آمَنُوا وَاتَّقَوْا لَفَتَحْنَا عَلَيْهِم بَرَكَاتٍ مِّنَ السَّمَاءِ
وَالْأَرْضِ وَلَكِن كَذَّبُوا فَأَخَذْنَاهُم بِمَا كَانُوا يَكْسِبُونَ

*“Were the inhabitants of the cities to believe and adopt piety, We would open them blessings from the sky and the earth; yet they denied (the truth), so We requited them what they had earned.”*⁸²

ظَهَرَ الْفَسَادُ فِي الْبَرِّ وَالْبَحْرِ بِمَا كَسَبَتْ أَيْدِي النَّاسِ

*“Corruption has manifested in the land and in the sea on account of what the hands of the people have earned.”*⁸³

وَقَالَ رَبُّكُمْ ادْعُونِي أَسْتَجِبْ لَكُمْ

*“Your Lord has said, Call on Me that I may answer you.”*⁸⁴

⁸² Sūrah A‘arāf (7), Verse 96

⁸³ Sūrah Rūm (30), Verse 41

⁸⁴ Sūrah Ghāfir (40), Verse 60

اسْتَغْفِرُوا رَبَّكُمْ إِنَّهُ كَانَ غَفَّارًا يُرْسِلُ السَّمَاءَ عَلَيْكُمْ مِدْرَارًا

*“Seek forgiveness from your Lord, since He is Ever-Forgiving; He constantly sends rain upon you from the sky.”*⁸⁵

وَوَاعَدْنَا مُوسَى ثَلَاثِينَ لَيْلَةً وَأَتَمَمْنَاهَا بِعَشْرِ

*“We covenanted Mūsā thirty nights, then completed them with ten (nights more).”*⁸⁶

فَلَوْلَا كَانَتْ قَرْيَةٌ آمَنَتْ فَنَفَعَهَا إِيمَانُهَا إِلَّا قَوْمُ يُونُسَ لَمَّا آمَنُوا كَشَفْنَا عَنْهُمْ عَذَابَ الْخِزْيِ فِي الْحَيَاةِ الدُّنْيَا وَمَتَّعْنَاهُمْ إِلَى حِينٍ

*“So why did not one of the cities believe that its belief might benefit it except the people of Yunus; when they believed we remove from them the debasing punishment in this world and cause them to enjoy until a specified time.”*⁸⁷

لَئِنْ شَكَرْتُمْ لَأَزِيدَنَّكُمْ

*“Verily, if you be grateful, I shall increase you (in blessings).”*⁸⁸

وَمَنْ يَتَّقِ اللَّهَ يَجْعَلْ لَهُ مَخْرَجًا وَيَرْزُقْهُ مِنْ حَيْثُ لَا يَحْتَسِبُ

*“Whoever adopts piety with regard to Allāh, He creates for him an escape (from difficulty) and grants him sustenance whence he does not expect.”*⁸⁹

⁸⁵ Sūrah Nūh (71), Verse 10, 11

⁸⁶ Sūrah A'rāf (7), Verse 142

⁸⁷ Sūrah Yūnis (10), Verse 98

⁸⁸ Sūrah Ibrāhīm (14), Verse 7

⁸⁹ Sūrah Ṭalāq (65), Verse 2, 3

ذَٰلِكَ بِأَنَّ اللَّهَ لَمْ يَكُ مُغَيِّرًا نِّعْمَةً أَنْعَمَهَا عَلَىٰ قَوْمٍ حَتَّىٰ يُغَيِّرُوا مَا
بِأَنفُسِهِمْ

“That is because Allāh does not change any blessing He had granted unto a people until they change what is in themselves.”⁹⁰

The *badā’* that the Shī’a believe in, along with belief in the absolute knowledge and power of Allāh, is a concept that is understood from such verses. For example, in them it is said that gratitude causes blessings from Allāh to increase; Allāh delivers a pious person from hardships by virtue of piety (*taqwa*) and sustains him from an unexpected source; He fulfills needs through prayer; on account of repentance and faith, He distances people from punishment; and because of misuse of blessings, He removes them from people.

Of course, abundant traditions that have been narrated by Shī’a and Sunnis and similarly manifold stories which have been related in original Islāmic sources indicate this point. In fact, if *badā’* were not to exist, many religious concepts, such as prayer, reliance on Allāh, repentance, charity, kindness towards relatives, gratitude, seeking forgiveness, admonition, granting tidings, and threatening, will be impossible to explain. *Badā’* means belief in the effect of these affairs in the life of a person.

Whether we are able to explain *badā’* in view of Allāh’s absolute knowledge and cognizance of all affairs or are unable to understand its secret and reality, in any case we must believe in the issue of *badā’* in accordance with the content of manifold verses of Qur’ān and *mutawātir* traditions.

⁹⁰ Sūrah Anfāl (8), Verse 53

This is because sometimes, though all the factors and preliminaries for the occurrence of something are present, other factors strip them of their effect and as a result prevent that thing from occurring. In such a case, the existence of those factors, their continuation, and the factors that strip them of their effect are all preserved in the *Umm al-Kitāb* by Divine decree in accordance with the order and arrangement He has specified. Those affairs are also linked to people's actions by choice, they occur through the will of Allāh and His decree. That is, neither compulsion (*jabr*) is involved, nor delegation (*tafwid*); rather it is a reality between the two:

لَا جَبْرَ وَلَا تَفْوِيضَ بَلْ أَمْرَ بَيْنَ الْأَمْرَيْنِ.

“Neither compulsion (jabr) nor delegation (tafwid), but a matter between the two ideas.”⁹¹

As an example, Allāh has decreed that fire should burn or that every created being should develop in its particular course, and if an obstacle comes about, that thing will not exist. Of course, it should be kept in mind that in matters pertaining to matter, if an obstacle to their coming about blocks the causes of an event, this is not called *badā’*. Only in instances when things like charity, kindness to family, and prayer influence the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an affair such that humanity considers its existence or non-existence definite from the point of view of apparent causes and then the opposite occurs, that is called *badā’*. This is though apparently this instance does not have much difference from the previous instance except that the object of effacement and affirmation in the first issue was observable matters and able to be perceived by most or all

⁹¹ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 4, Page 197

people, but in the second issue it is non-observable matters; thus, most individuals are unable to perceive it. The second type indicates the existence and influence of the unseen world and the existence of Allāh more than the first, though all affairs are from Him.

In summary, the concept of *badā'* is the same concept that is well understood from this verse of Qur'ān in which Allāh the Exalted refutes the false belief of the Jews:

وَقَالَتِ الْيَهُودُ يَدُ اللَّهِ مَغْلُولَةٌ غُلَّتْ أَيْدِيهِمْ وَلَعْنُوا بِمَا قَالُوا بَلْ يَدَاهُ
مَبْسُوطَتَانِ يُنفِقُ كَيْفَ يَشَاءُ

*“And the Jews said, Allāh’s hand is fettered, may their hands be fettered and they be cursed on account of what they said. Rather His hands are outspread; He bestows howsoever He wishes.”*⁹²

Thus *badā'* in its correct meaning is the negation of this erroneous belief of the Jews, who say that Allāh’s hand is fettered from acting in affairs. In other words, *badā'* means that Allāh’s hands are extended and His absolute power is unlimited, without this having any conflict with His absolute knowledge.

In other words, the reality of *badā'*, with its correct meaning such that it is compatible with Allāh’s absolute knowledge, in accordance with His freedom from all forms of ignorance, and opposed to the erroneous view of the Jews and all other deniers of the issue of *badā'* who view Allāh’s power as limited, must be explained thus: According to Divine decree, everything has particular effects, and things take place in accordance with those effects in conformance to Divine Will.

⁹² Sūrah Ma’idah (5), Verse 64

In other words, it is a Divine decree that, for example, fire should burn, but the realization of this characteristic—its occurrence—is pursuant to Divine Will. In addition, there are a number of unseen causes, such as reliance on Allāh, charity, and prayer—which are not common material affairs—all of which have a peculiar effect. In the stage of action, whichever of those material or non-material causes—apparent or unseen—is stronger will be effective in the occurrence of affairs, and its entailed result will come about. For example, in many cases, in spite of the existence of barriers, kindness to relatives, which has been decreed as a factor in lengthening one's life overcomes all other factors and as a result a person's life is lengthened. Thus, it has come in a tradition:

صِلَّةُ الرَّحْمِ تُزِيدُ فِي الْعُمُرِ وَتُدْفَعُ مِيتَةَ السُّوءِ.

*“Kindness to relatives lengthens the life and repels the difficulties of death”*⁹³

The summary of the reality of *badā'* is that causes and factors are not limited to material causes. Rather, in addition to material causes, there are also non-material causes in accordance with Divine decree.

On this basis, one must have faith both in unseen causes and in Divine Will and that:

كُلُّ يَوْمٍ هُوَ فِي شَأْنٍ

*“Every day He is in a state [of glory]”*⁹⁴

and the continuance of His acting in sustaining, curing, guiding, and so forth. Without Allāh's will, nothing can produce an effect,

⁹³ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 77, Page 172

⁹⁴ Sūrah al-Raḥmān (55), Verse 29

though we are unable to fathom the details of these Divine matters and their connection to one another.

It is possible that the meaning of “effacement” in *badā’* is the effacement of these decrees by other decrees, such as the effacement of the effect of illness and being cured by medicine or prayer, which takes place by the will of Allāh. The decree and effect of the illness is also by Divine will, just as we see that in material and apparent causes and effects a particular factor prevented a person from falling into the ocean or from a high place or prevented the occurrence of an accident or collision. And sometimes this change is effaced or affirmed by the influence of unseen causes.

Perhaps the meaning is that decrees are not definite; it is only through the will of Allāh that these decrees are effaced or affirmed, and without that no decree comes to fruition. And the meaning of:

وَعِنْدَهُ أُمُّ الْكِتَابِ

“*And with Him is the ‘Mother of the Book’*”⁹⁵

is that all relations and decrees are in the “Mother of the Book,” or that whatever takes place does not take place in contradiction to it, and everything is in the “Mother of the Book.”

In any case, *badā’* has an intelligible and logical meaning and it can’t be said to mean the uncovering of a matter hidden from or unknown by Allāh. The purpose of explaining *badā’* in terms of each of the mentioned explanations is so that by understanding these realities people’s attention to Allāh increase and so that they do not forget Him in any circumstances or rely only on apparent and ordinary means in their affairs. And even when those means are present they should still consider themselves in need of Allāh’s

⁹⁵ Sūrah Ra’d (13), Verse 39

attention, and when those means are not present, they should not become hopeless; Allāh may very well bring about that matter through other means.

In short, unlike the Jews, he should not consider Allāh's hands to be tied, and should believe in the great truth of Divine unity that:

قُلِ اَللّٰهُمَّ مَالِكُ الْمُلْكِ تُؤْتِي الْمُلْكَ مَنْ تَشَاءُ وَتَنْزِعُ الْمُلْكَ مِمَّنْ تَشَاءُ
وَتُعِزُّ مَنْ تَشَاءُ وَتُذِلُّ مَنْ تَشَاءُ بِيَدِكَ الْخَيْرُ اِنَّكَ عَلٰى كُلِّ شَيْءٍ قَدِيْرٌ .

“Say: O’ Allāh, Master of the Kingdom, You grant the kingdom to whomsoever You please and remove it from whomsoever You please; You make mighty whomsoever You please and debase whomsoever You please. All good lies in Your hand; verily You have power over all things.”⁹⁶

As a matter of principle, without such a conviction, the development of humanity and their traveling the worlds of cognizance and true advancement and ascension to celestial positions is not possible. This is a reality that also has a basis in human nature, and even if he denies the issue of *badā’* with his tongue, he admits it with his conscience. Thus, in situations of danger, he supplicates to Allāh to repel them and takes recourse to His most beautiful names, like the Sustainer, the Healer, the Sufficient, the Protector, all of which make sense through belief in *badā’*.

And as Allāh says in the Qur’ān:

⁹⁶ Sūrah Āle-Imrān (3), Verse 26

قُلْ أَرَأَيْتُمْ إِنْ أَتَاكُمْ عَذَابُ اللَّهِ أَوْ أَتَتْكُمْ السَّاعَةُ أَغَيْرَ اللَّهِ تَدْعُونَ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ صَادِقِينَ بَلْ إِيَّاهُ تَدْعُونَ.

*“Say: Do you think that if Allāh’s punishment come to you or the Hour (of Resurrection) come, that you will call upon other than Allāh, if you be truthful; rather Him alone will you call.”*⁹⁷

Based on this importance which belief in *badā’* has in worshipping Allāh and paying attention to Him, it has come in Shi’a traditions that:

مَا عُبِدَ اللَّهُ بِشَيْءٍ مِثْلُ الْبَدَاءِ

*“Allāh has not been worshipped by anything like belief in badā’”*⁹⁸

In summary, the issue of *badā’*, no matter how it is explained, does not contradict Allāh’s absolute knowledge. That is, just as He is “All-Knowing,” He is also “All-Powerful.”

Two objections have been raised regarding the issue of *badā’*.

First Objection:

The first objection is from the believers in predetermination, who say that when Allāh has knowledge with respect to something, it is impossible for His knowledge to be in disagreement with the thing that is known. Thus, the meaning of “Every day He is in a state of glory” is that every day and every instant whatever Allāh’s knowledge is associated to occurs; He creates and sustains and withholds. All actions are from Him, and nothing occurs that is outside the range of His knowledge. In fact, outside the limits of His knowledge, no action is possible. Similarly, it is obligatory for all events to which His knowledge is associated to take place, since

⁹⁷ Sūrah Anām (6), Verse 40

⁹⁸ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 4, Page 107, Ḥadīth 19

for such actions not to take place entails His being ignorant, while The Divine Essence is pure of all forms of ignorance, defect, and fault. From this they raise the objection of Allāh—as well as His creation—being compelled.

Of course, this objection is rejected by referring to one's reason, since every individual clearly and unambiguously sees himself as free in his actions. In reality, this is a form of objection with respect to obvious matters, just like if someone doubts whether fire burns or makes hot.

Another answer to this objection is that first of all, it doesn't disprove the issue of *badā* even if it is valid, since if one believes in predetermination and denies free will, effacement and affirmation, which are among Allāh's actions, will in the end occur either by compellation or free will, like all other actions.

In other words, a person who believes in predetermination cannot negate effacement and affirmation with this objection; he can only say that the occurrence of effacement and affirmation, which are both Divine actions, is necessary and certain.

Second, the correct answer to the objection itself is that effacement and affirmation are both uncompelled Divine actions, and like all other Divine actions take place through free will; and "Allāh's knowledge of their occurrence through free will" cannot negate their being uncompelled. Otherwise, it would entail knowledge influencing the thing that is known, which is impossible. Similarly, with regard to the actions of people, Allāh has knowledge that they will occur through free will. Such knowledge does not negate the person's free will. It appears that the sage Muhaqqiq al-Ṭūsī refers to this answer when he says (in the form of a poetical couplet) in answer to Khayyām:

To term the timeless Divine knowledge the cause of disobedience

Is, among those possessed of reason, out of extreme ignorance

That is, knowledge of the performance of actions by individuals possessing free will does not contradict the uncompelled nature of those actions.

Second Objection:

How can the prophecies of the Prophets and Divine friends regarding unseen future events, especially the prophecies of the Noble Messenger (peace be upon him and his family) and the pure Imāms (peace be upon them) be explained and justified if *badā'* is possible with regard to them? That is, how have they given information with certainty about so many future events without taking into account the possibility of *badā'* with respect to those prophecies?

Answer: The possibility of occurrence of *badā'* and its occurrence in some instances does not mean that it occurs in all instances. Thus, the prophecies of those personages, whose knowledge was grounded in Allāh's knowledge and teaching and inspiration, indicates that *badā'* will not occur, and is not in contradiction with the possibility of *badā'*.

The objection may also be worded in a different way: according to some traditions, in some instances the reports of the prophets and Imāms (peace be upon them) did not come to pass, and the reason for that has been mentioned as *badā'* or other factors. And this entails having informed about something that is untrue and being accused of lying, and causes a weakening of the position of prophecy and vicgerency.

In addition, the prophet or vicegerent who makes the prophecy either knows about the occurrence of *badā'* or doesn't know about it. In the first case, it is obvious that announcing with certainty the occurrence of an event that will not occur even though the announcer knows that it will not occur constitutes a lie. And the position of the prophets is far removed from that.

In the second case as well, announcing with certainty the occurrence of an event that may or may not occur because of the possibility of *badā'*, if not a lie, does not much befit the position of prophecy or *Imāmāh*.

Answer: First, according to reliable traditions, the knowledge and awareness of unseen matters that Allāh grants to the prophet or his successor and commands him to announce belongs to the definite affairs in which there is no *badā'*. For example, the Prophet's (peace be upon him and his family) prophecy about 'Ammār being killed at the hands of the rebellious party, about the martyrdom of Amīr al-Mu'minīn and Imām Ḥusayn (peace be upon him) and the remaining tragedies to befall the Ahl al-Baīt (peace be upon them), or about Fāṭimah (peace be upon her) being the first of the Ahl al-Baīt to meet him, all are definite matters not subject to *badā'*. The same is true about the prophecy that Islām shall subdue the world, that Imām Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his return) will appear at the end of time and the explanation of his qualities and characteristics, and tens of other undeniable prophecies. Similarly, the reports about the unseen given by Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), much of which the Ahl al-Sunnat have also narrated, and the reports of the remaining Imāms (peace be upon them) about unseen affairs are not subject to *badā'*, or more correctly, *badā'* will not take place in these matters, or else those personages would not have given information about them.

The traditions which mention the existence of *badā'* in their reports of the unseen are either very rare or contain a weak chain of narration, or else their indication of such a point is incomplete. Take for example the same tradition of AbūHamzah which was discussed earlier.

If there is a *ṣaḥīḥ* (sound) tradition in this regard, it is the tradition of Amr ibn Hamq from Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) which says, I had the honor of coming to the presence of Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him) when he had been struck with the fatal blow; he (peace be upon him) said, O' Amr, I shall part from you... until the year 70, calamities will take place; he repeated this statement thrice.

I said, O' Amīr al-Mu'minīn (peace be upon him), you said that calamities will occur until the year 70; is there deliverance after that year?

He said, Yes, Amr. After every trial there is deliverance and ease.

يَمْحُو اللَّهُ مَا يَشَاءُ وَيُثَبِّتُ وَعِنْدَهُ أُمُّ الْكِتَابِ

*"Allāh effaces whatsoever He pleases and affirms, and with Him is the Mother of the Book."*⁹⁹

This tradition does not prophesy the appearance and uprising of the Imām of the Time (may Allāh hasten his return); rather it gives information about a Divine custom,

إِنَّ مَعَ الْعُسْرِ يُسْرًا

*"Verily with difficulty is ease."*¹⁰⁰

⁹⁹ Sūrah Ra'd (13), Verse 39 [Tafsīr al-'Ayāshī, Volume 2, Page 217, Ḥadīth 68]

¹⁰⁰ Sūrah Inshirāḥ (94), Verse 6

At the same time, it mentions the point that this deliverance will come to be in the case that *badā'* does not occur. In reality, the Imām, by reciting the verse “Allāh effaces whatsoever He pleases and affirms, and with Him is the Mother of the Book” wanted to say that this matter is not definite; it may very well be that *badā'* will occur and prevent it from occurring.



Belief in the Return (Raj'ah) with respect to
Belief in the Mahdī (may Allāh hasten his
return)

QUESTION:

According to many traditions, at the end of time a number of the deceased Imāms (peace be upon them) as well as others will return to this world. Is belief in the concealment of the twelfth Imām (may Allāh hasten his return) and his reappearance in accordance with belief in the return (raj'ah)? In other words, does the belief in concealment and reappearance include the return and all other events that will occur before the Day of Judgment, or is it an independent belief separate from the return?

ANSWER:

Belief in the appearance of the Mahdī and Savior from the Ahl al-Bāit and progeny of Fāṭimah (peace be upon her) is a widespread and collective belief of the Muslims and is not exclusive to the Shi'a. Though the Shi'a also specify his identity in terms of lineage and characteristics, the belief itself is universal, and its tidings have

been given in previous religions in the Tawrat and Zabur as well. And according to the principles of the Twelver Shī'a school, without belief in it, one's faith is incomplete, and the acceptance of one's actions is dependent on accepting it. One who dies without believing in this issue or who does not recognize the twelfth Imām (may our souls be his ransom) as the Imām has died the death of ignorance according to the judgment of the prophetic tradition:

مَنْ مَاتَ وَلَمْ يَعْرِفْ إِمَامَ زَمَانِهِ مَاتَ مِيتَةً جَاهِلِيَّةً.

*"Whoever dies without recognizing the Imām of his time dies the death of the Age of Ignorance."*¹⁰¹

This belief, in terms of influence and importance, is at this level.

Belief in the return as well, though it is rooted in the Qur'ān and traditions, is not such that the issue of concealment and reappearance not be explainable without it.

Thus, the principle of *Imāmah* and the issue of the Mahdī has been discussed in books even without mention of the issue of the return.

Still, it must be mentioned that the issue of raj'ah and the return of the deceased itself is an Islāmic issue and its occurrence in previous times is confirmed on the basis of numerous verses of the Qur'ān. When this is the case, since it has had a parallel in the past, the possibility of its reoccurrence at the end of time cannot be denied.

When the return of the deceased has occurred in past ummah (communities), according to well-known tradition famous among the Ahl al-Sunnat, they must consider it possible in this ummah as well:

¹⁰¹ Biḥār al-Anwār, Volume 8, Pgae 368

لَتَسْلُكْنَ سُبُلَ مَنْ كَانَ قَبْلَكُمْ هَذَا أَلْغُلَ بِالْغُلِّ وَالْقَذَةَ بِالْقَذَةِ حَتَّىٰ لَوْ
أَنَّ أَحَدَهُمْ دَخَلَ حَجْرٍ ضَبَّ لَدَخَلْتُمُوهُ.

“Verily you will follow the path of those before you...”¹⁰²

Thus, the denial of its possibility in this ummah by non-Shias is incorrect according to the meaning of this tradition.

In the end, while overlooking the verses that mention the occurrence of raj’ah in previous ummahs, for the sake of blessings and grace we mention one verse that bears witness to its occurrence in this Nation:

وَيَوْمَ نَحْشُرُ مِنْ كُلِّ أُمَّةٍ فَوْجًا مِمَّنْ يُكَذِّبُ بِآيَاتِنَا فَهُمْ يُوزَعُونَ

“And (remember) the day when We shall gather from every community a group of those who gave the lie to Our signs, so they will meet one another.”¹⁰³

This verse indicates a day when from every ummat a group of people will be gathered who gave the lie to the Divine signs, which naturally is a day other than the Day of Judgment.

ولا حول ولا قوة إلا بالله العلي العظيم
وآخر دعوانا أن الحمد لله رب العالمين

And there is no might nor power save with Allāh

And our final assertion is that all
praise is for Allāh the Lord of the Worlds.

¹⁰² Bihār al-Anwār, Volume 21, Page 257

¹⁰³ Sūrah Nahl (27)